

## Appendix D – Potentially Significant Modifications Appraisal Update – Allocations

## Residential Allocations

### Dereham

Site Ref.	SA Objectives																			Summary
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	
LP[025] 007	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	0	-	+	0	0	+	+	0	+	-	0	0	The site scores negatively against criteria 6 due to the site being situated within flood zone 3a.
<b>LP[025] 007 (Main Mod Update)</b>	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	0	-	+	0	0	+	+	0	+	-	0	0	The proposed main modification would support the previously assessed positive outcome with regards to the conservation of the historic environment and protection of open space. The proposed main modification would include a small boundary change; this would not have significant effect.
LP[025] 011	0	+	+	+	0	0	+	0	-	+	0	0	+	+	0	+	-	0	0	Although the site scores positively against criteria 5 and 11 the site is distant from some key services.

# CAPITA

LP[025] 011 (Main Mod Update)	0	+	+	+	0	0	+	0	-	+	0	0	+	+	0	+	-	0	0	The proposed main modification would support the previously assessed positive outcome with regards to the conservation of the historic environment and protection of open space.
LP[025] 023	-	-	-	+	+	-	+	0	-	+	0	0	+	+	0	+	-	0	0	The site scores negatively against criteria 6 due to the site being situated within flood zone 3a. The site scores negatively against criteria 2 and 3 due to the site being situated within a groundwater source protection zone.
LP[025] 023 (Main Mod Update)	-	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	0	0	+	+	0	+	-	0	0	The policy now makes explicit reference to improving the green infrastructure network; this improves the score against SA objective 8. The policy removes water efficiency criterion, however, this is covered by other LP policies.
LP[025] 029	0	-	-	+	+	+	+	0	-	0	+	0	+	+	0	+	-	0	0	The site scores negatively against criteria 2 and 3 due to the site being situated within a groundwater source protection zone. The site

																				scores neutrally against criteria 10 due to the potential impact upon the setting of the nearby listed building.
<b>LP[025] 029 (Main Mod Update)</b>	0	-	-	+	+	+	+	0	-	+	+	0	+	+	0	+	-	0	0	The proposed main modification adds greater emphasis on conserving the historic environment; for this reason the score for SA objective 10 would improve.
LP[025] 030	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	0	-	+	0	0	+	+	0	+	-	0	0	The site represents sites 017,018 and 019 combined and reduced to avoid areas subject to flood risk.
<b>LP[025] 030 (Main Mod Update)</b>	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	0	-	+	0	0	+	+	0	+	-	0	0	The proposed main modification adds greater emphasis on conserving the historic environment, supporting the previously assessed positive outcome.
<b>Summary Comments - Publication version:</b>																				
The majority of the sites are situated within an area of moderate-high / high landscape sensitivity with the exception of sites LP[025]017, 018, 019 and 030 (For assessment of sites not allocated/subject to main modification please refer to Publication SA). Due to the nature of the sites being primarily greenfield, the majority of the sites score negatively against criteria 1. There is little difference between the majority of sites within the town in terms of distance to services as the majority of the sites are situated on the edge of the existing settlement boundary.																				
<b>Overall effect of proposed main modification upon SA assessment:</b>																				
The proposed main modifications would further support the provision of open space and conservation of the historic environment. Otherwise there would be no significant effect arising from the proposed main modifications.																				

# CAPITA

## Swaffham

Site Ref.	SA Objectives																			Summary
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	
LP[097] 006	-	-	-	0	+	+	0	0	+	+	0	0	0	+	0	+	-	0	0	
<b>LP[097] 006 (Main Mods Update)</b>	-	-	-	0	+	+	0	0	+	+	0	0	+	+	0	+	-	0	0	The proposed main modification would ensure that open space is provided in accordance with policy ENV04; this should ensure that the open space provision would respond to the site size and requirement, more so than the specific amount previously included in the policy. This improves the score against SA objective 13.
LP[097] 008	-	-	-	0	+	+	0	0	+	+	0	0	0	+	0	+	-	0	0	

<b>LP[097] 008 (Main Mods Update)</b>	-	-	-	0	+	+	0	0	+	+	p	0	+	+	0	+	-	0	0	<p>The proposed main modification would ensure that open space is provided in accordance with policy ENV04; this should ensure that the open space provision would respond to the site size and requirement, more so than the specific amount previously included in the policy. This improves the score against SA objective 13.</p>
LP[097] 009	-	-	-	0	+	+	0	0	+	+	+	0	0	+	0	+	-	0	0	
<b>LP[097] 009 (Main Mods Update)</b>	-	-	-	0	+	+	0	0	+	+	+	0	+	+	0	+	-	0	0	<p>The proposed main modification would ensure that open space is provided in accordance with policy ENV04; this should ensure that the open space provision would respond to the site size and requirement, more so than the specific amount previously included in the policy. This improves the score against SA objective 13. Further emphasis is given to the historic environment, supporting the positive assessment of SA objective 10.</p>

# CAPITA

LP[097] 010	-	-	-	0	+	+	0	0	+	0	+	0	0	+	0	+	-	0	0	The site scores neutrally against criteria 10, but reflects that there may be a potential impact upon the historic environment.
<b>LP[097] 010 (Main Mods Update)</b>	-	-	-	0	+	+	0	0	+	+	+	0	+	+	0	+	-	0	0	The proposed main modification would ensure that open space is provided in accordance with policy ENV04; this should ensure that the open space provision would respond to the site size and requirement, more so than the specific amount previously included in the policy. This improves the score against SA objective 13. Further emphasis is given to the historic environment, supporting an improvement on the previous assessment of SA objective 10.
LP[097] 013	-	-	-	0	0	+	0	0	+	+	+	0	0	+	0	+	-	0	0	

# CAPITA

<p><b>LP[097] 013 (Main Mods Update)</b></p>	-	-	-	0	0	+	0	0	+	+	+	0	+	+	0	+	-	0	0	<p>The proposed main modification would ensure that open space is provided in accordance with policy ENV04; this should ensure that the open space provision would respond to the site size and requirement, more so than the specific amount previously included in the policy. This improves the score against SA objective 13. Further emphasis is given to the historic environment, supporting the positive assessment of SA objective 10.</p> <p>The proposed main modification would add further dwellings at this allocation, supporting the previously assessed positive outcome against objective 16.</p>
<p>LP[097] 018</p>	-	-	-	0	0	+	0	0	+	0	0	0	0	+	0	+	-	0	0	<p>The site scores neutrally against criteria 10, but reflects that there may be a potential impact upon the historic environment.</p>



# CAPITA

<b>LP[097] 018 (Main Mods Update)</b>	-	-	-	0	0	+	0	0	+	+	0	0	+	+	0	+	-	0	0	<p>The proposed main modification would ensure that open space is provided in accordance with policy ENV04; this should ensure that the open space provision would respond to the site size and requirement, more so than the specific amount previously included in the policy. This improves the score against SA objective 13. Further emphasis is given to the historic environment as a whole, supporting an improvement on the neutral score to a positive score against SA objective 10.</p>
<p><b>Summary comments - Publication Version:</b> Development options in Swaffham tend to be on Greenfield sites and so do not have positive outcomes with regards to the first three SA objectives, relating to land, water and soil resources. Due to the rural nature of the Breckland district there is limited brownfield land available. The majority of sites score relatively evenly against the SA objectives, with limited differences between the sites. A handful of sites see some predicted negative effects (LP[097]001, LP[097]005, LP[097]014, LP[097]016, LP[097]020); none of these are preferred sites. These sites all score negatively for different reasons. Sites LP[097]001 and LP[097]011 are both located on saved employment allocations and as such score poorly against the employment objectives, whilst site LP[097]016 is located on protected open space. (For assessment of sites not allocated/subject to main modification please refer to Publication SA).</p>																				
<p><b>Overall effect of proposed main modification upon SA assessment:</b> The proposed main modifications would further support the provision of open space and conservation of the historic environment. Otherwise there would be no significant effect arising from the proposed main modifications.</p>																				

## Watton

Site Ref.	SA Objectives																			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	Summary
LP[104]008	-	-	-	0	+	+	0	0	+	+	+	0	+	+	0	+	-	0	0	
<b>LP[104]008 (Main Mods Update)</b>	-	-	-	0	+	+	0	0	+	+	+	0	+	+	0	+	-	0	0	<p>The proposed main modification would ensure that open space is provided in accordance with policy ENV04; this should ensure that the open space provision would respond to the site size and requirement, more so than the specific amount previously included in the policy. This supports the previously assessed positive score for SA objective 13.</p> <p>Further emphasis is given to the historic environment, supporting the positive assessment of SA objective 10.</p>
LP[104]015	0	-	-	0	0	+	0	0	+	+	+	0	+	+	0	+	-	0	0	Although the site scores

# CAPITA

																				neutrally against criteria 5 the site is distant from some key services and facilities. The site scores positively against the criteria 16, which ensures all groups have access to appropriate housing to meet their needs, due to the proposed use of a care home.
<b>LP[104] 015 (Main Mods Update)</b>	0	-	-	0	0	+	0	0	+	+	+	0	+	+	0	+	-	0	0	The proposed main modification would ensure that open space is provided in accordance with policy ENV04; this should ensure that the open space provision would respond to the site size and requirement, more so than the specific amount previously included in the policy. This supports the previously assessed positive score for SA objective 13. Further emphasis is given to the historic environment, supporting the positive assessment of SA objective 10.
LP[104] 019	0	-	-	0	+	-	+	0	+	+	+	0	+	+	0	+	-	0	0	The site scores negatively against criteria 6 due to surface water flooding on the site.

<p><b>LP[104] 019 (Main Mods Update)</b></p>	0	-	-	0	+	-	+	0	+	+	+	0	+	+	0	+	-	0	0		<p>The proposed main modification would ensure that open space is provided in accordance with policy ENV04; this should ensure that the open space provision would respond to the site size and requirement, more so than the specific amount previously included in the policy. This supports the previously assessed positive score for SA objective 13.</p> <p>Further emphasis is given to the historic environment, supporting the positive assessment of SA objective 10.</p>
<p><b>Summary comments - Publication version:</b></p> <p>The site appraisals include land within Carbooke parish (LP[017]007) which is located within the built up extent of Watton. The majority of sites in Watton are Greenfield, and this has resultant sustainability issues. However, the good transport links, economic base and community services and facilities make potential sites in the area score well otherwise. The majority of the sites in Watton are subject to surface water flooding and this is reflected in the assessment against criteria 6; however, the level of surface water flooding varies. (For assessment of sites not allocated/subject to main modification please refer to Publication SA).</p>																					
<p><b>Overall effect of proposed main modification upon SA assessment:</b></p> <p>The proposed main modifications would further support the provision of open space and conservation of the historic environment. Otherwise there would be no significant effect arising from the proposed main modifications.</p>																					

## Ashill

Site Ref.	SA Objectives																			Summary
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	
LP[001]009	-	0	0	+	+	+	+	0	0	+	+	0	0	+	0	+	-	0	0	Although the site scores neutrally against criteria 13 and positively against criteria 11, the site is currently designated open space.
LP[001]009 (Main Mods Update)	-	0	0	+	+	+	+	0	0	+	+	0	0	+	0	+	-	0	0	The proposed main modification gives greater emphasis to the historic environment as a whole, supporting the previously assessed positive outcome.
<p><b>Summary comments - Publication version:</b>            All sites within Ashill score relatively similarly through the sustainability appraisal. All the sites are located on greenfield land with the exception of site LP[001]003, which will involve the re-development of existing properties. Due to the greenfield nature of the sites they therefore score negatively against SA objective 1, however due to the rural nature of Breckland and limited amount of brownfield land the majority of sites score negatively against this criteria. Sites LP[001]002 and LP[001]003 score negatively against objective 10 due to the impact upon the Grade 1 Listed Building 'Church of St.Nicholas'. Site LP[001]001, 010, 011 and 012 are distant from a number of key services and facilities within the village, including the primary school, shop and open space. Due to this the sites score negatively against SA objectives 14, 15 and 17 in comparison to the other sites which score more positively. Whilst Sites LP[001]006 and 007 score positively against these criteria the sites are just within the buffer for distances to key services and facilities. (For assessment of sites not allocated/subject to main modification please refer to Publication SA).</p>																				
<p><b>Overall effect of proposed main modification upon SA assessment:</b>            The proposed main modifications would further support the provision of open space and conservation of the historic environment. Otherwise</p>																				

# CAPITA

there would be no significant effect arising from the proposed main modifications.

## Banham

Site Ref.	SA Objectives																			Summary
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	
LP[003] 003	-	-	-	0	+	-	0	0	-	0	+	0	+	+	0	+	-	0	0	The site scores negatively against criteria 6 due to flood risk. The site scores negatively against criteria 9 due to being situated within an area of moderate-high landscape sensitivity. The site scores neutrally against criteria 10 due to the potential impact upon the historic environment.
<b>LP[003] 003 (Main Mods Update)</b>	-	-	-	0	+	-	0	0	-	0	+	0	+	+	0	+	-	0	0	The proposed main modification would ensure that open space is provided in accordance with policy ENV04; this should ensure that the open space provision would respond to the site size and requirement, more so than the specific amount previously

# CAPITA

																					included in the policy. This supports the previously assessed positive score for SA objective 13. The proposed modification relating to the area of land for development is for clarity only and wouldn't affect the aims of the policy.
LP[003] 009	-	-	-	0	+	+	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	+	0	+	-	0	0	0	Although the site scores neutrally against criteria 13 & 11, the site is situated upon designated open space. The site scores negatively against criteria 9 due to being situated within an area of moderate-high landscape sensitivity.
LP[003] 009 (Main Mods Update)	-	-	-	0	+	+	0	0	-	0	0	0	+	+	0	+	-	0	0	0	The proposed main modification would ensure that open space is provided in accordance with policy ENV04; this should ensure that the open space provision would respond to the site size and requirement, more so than the specific amount previously







## Bawdeswell

Site Ref.	SA Objectives																			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	Summary
LP[004] 008	-	-	-	0	0	-	0	0	+	+	+	0	+	+	0	+	-	0	0	The site scores negatively against criteria 6 due to surface water flooding.
LP[004] 008 (Main Mods Update)	-	-	-	0	0	-	0	0	+	+	+	0	+	+	0	+	-	0	0	The proposed main modification would ensure that open space is provided in accordance with policy ENV04; this should ensure that the open space provision would respond to the site size and requirement, more so than the specific amount previously included in the policy. This would retain the positive score for SA objective 13.
<b>Summary comments - Publication version:</b>																				

The lack of brownfield sites in Bawdeswell mean that all sites score poorly against SA objectives 1, 2 and 3. All sites score negatively against criteria 6 due to varying levels of surface water flooding on the sites. Sites LP[004]003 and 004 are entirely covered with area of surface water flooding where as the other sites are only affected by small areas of surface water flooding. LP[004]007 scores negatively against criteria 10 due to the site being situated partially within the conservation area. Sites LP[004]002, 003 & 005 score neutrally against criteria 10 due to the sites being adjacent to the settlement boundary. (For assessment of sites not allocated/subject to main modification please refer to Publication SA).

**Overall effect of proposed main modification upon SA assessment:**

The proposed main modifications would further support the provision of open space. Otherwise there would be no significant effect arising from the proposed main modifications.

## Garboldisham

Site Ref.	SA Objectives																			Summary
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	
LP[031] 004	0	-	-	0	+	-	0	0	+	+	+	0	+	+	0	0	-	0	0	The site scores negatively against criteria 6 due to surface water and fluvial flooding on the eastern part of the site.
<b>LP[031] 004 (Main Mods Update)</b>	0	-	-	0	+	-	0	0	+	+	+	0	+	+	0	0	-	0	0	The proposed main modification would ensure that open space is provided in accordance with policy ENV04; this should ensure that the open space provision would respond to the site size and requirement, more so than the specific amount previously included in the policy. This supports the previously assessed positive score for SA objective 13. Further emphasis is given to the historic environment, supporting

# CAPITA

																					the positive assessment of SA objective 10.
LP[031] 005	0	-	-	0	+	-	0	0	+	+	+	0	+	+	0	0	-	0	0		The site scores negatively against criteria 6 due to surface water and fluvial flooding on the eastern part of the site.
<b>LP[031] 005 (Main Mods Update)</b>	0	-	-	0	+	-	0	0	+	+	+	0	+	+	0	0	-	0	0		The proposed main modification would ensure that open space is provided in accordance with policy ENV04; this should ensure that the open space provision would respond to the site size and requirement, more so than the specific amount previously included in the policy. This supports the previously assessed positive score for SA objective 13.

Further emphasis is given to the historic environment, supporting the positive assessment of SA objective 10.

**Summary comments - Publication version:**

Whilst there is a lack of brownfield sites in Garboldisham, site LP[031]002 and 011 are located on partial brownfield land, as a result these sites score positively against criteria 1. The same sites also score neutrally against criteria 5 and 11 due to the sites being distant from the existing settlement boundary and the key services and facilities within the settlement. Sites LP[031]004 and 005 score negatively against criteria 6 due to surface water flooding and fluvial flooding.

LP[031]010 scores negatively against criteria 003 and 010 due to the access to the site being situated within a conservation area. Sites 006, 007, 008 & 009 score neutrally against this criteria due to being within close proximity to the conservation area.

(For assessment of sites not allocated/subject to main modification please refer to Publication SA).

**Overall effect of proposed main modification upon SA assessment:**

The proposed main modifications would further support the provision of open space and conservation of the historic environment. Otherwise there would be no significant effect arising from the proposed main modifications.

# CAPITA

## Great Ellingham

(For assessment of sites not allocated/subject to main modification please refer to Publication SA).



## Harling

Site Ref.	SA Objectives																			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	Summary
LP[042] 001	-	+	+	0	+	+	0	0	+	+	+	0	+	+	0	+	0	0	+	
<b>LP[042] 001 (Main Mods Update)</b>	-	+	+	0	+	+	0	0	+	+	+	0	+	+	0	+	0	0	+	<p>The proposed main modification would ensure that open space is provided in accordance with policy ENV04; this should ensure that the open space provision would respond to the site size and requirement, more so than the specific amount previously included in the policy. This supports the previously assessed positive score for SA objective 13. Further emphasis is given to the historic environment, supporting the positive assessment of SA objective 10.</p>
<b>Summary comments - Publication version:</b>																				

The majority of the sites within Harling are situated within groundwater source protection zones and so score negatively against criteria 2 and 3. The majority of the sites within the settlement are also greenfield sites with the eastern half of the village being, predominantly, grade 3 agricultural land. All sites in Harling offer the opportunity to improve the local economy and none would have a negative impact on open space provision. With the exception of sites 005, 006 and 015 the sites are within close proximity to the existing settlement boundary and score positively in relation to distance to key services. (For assessment of sites not allocated/subject to main modification please refer to Publication SA).

**Overall effect of proposed main modification upon SA assessment:**

The proposed main modifications would further support the provision of open space and conservation of the historic environment. Otherwise there would be no significant effect arising from the proposed main modifications.

## Hockering

Site Ref.	SA Objectives																			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	Summary
LP[044]005	-	+	+	0	+	+	0	0	+	+	0	0	+	+	0	+	-	0	0	The site scores negatively against criteria 1 due to the greenfield nature of the site.
LP[044]005 (Main Mods Update)	-	+	+	0	+	+	0	0	+	+	0	0	+	+	0	+	-	0	0	The proposed main modification would not have a significant effect.
<b>Summary comments - Publication version:</b>																				
All sites score fairly similarly. Site LP[044]001 sees a negative impact regarding the historic environment following concerns raised by Historic England around the impact on significance of the listed buildings. The majority of the sites scores positively against the SA framework, particularly criteria regarding Climate Change and Air Pollution, Population and Human Health and Inclusive Communities. (For assessment of sites not allocated/subject to main modification please refer to Publication SA).																				
<b>Overall effect of proposed main modification upon SA assessment:</b>																				
There would be no significant effect arising from the proposed main modifications.																				

## Kenninghall

Site Ref.	SA Objectives																			Summary
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	
LP[051] 003	-	-	-	0	+	+	0	0	+	0	+	0	+	+	0	+	-	0	0	The site scores neutrally against criteria 10 due to the potential impact upon the historic environment.
LP[051] 003 (Main Mods Update)	-	-	-	0	+	+	0	0	+	+	+	0	+	+	0	+	-	0	0	The proposed main modification improves the consideration given to the historic environment and so this improves the score against SA objective 10.
<p><b>Summary comments - Publication version:</b>            There are a lack of brownfield sites within the settlement and this is reflected in criteria 1. All of the sites score negatively against criteria 2 and 3 due to being situated within groundwater source protection zones. Several of the sites are located in areas of sensitive landscape and perform poorly in this regard (SA objective 9). Conversely, the remaining sites have been recognised as the potential to have a negative impact on climate change. On balance, the sites score similarly, apart from site LP[051]006, which has more negative SA outcomes than the other sites due to the distance of the site from the settlement. (For assessment of sites not allocated/subject to main modification please refer to Publication SA).</p> <p><b>Overall effect of proposed main modification upon SA assessment:</b>            The proposed main modifications would further support the conservation of the historic environment. Otherwise there would be no significant effect arising from the proposed main modifications.</p>																				

# CAPITA

## Litcham

(For assessment of sites not allocated/subject to main modification please refer to Publication SA).

# CAPITA

## Mattishall

(For assessment of sites not allocated/subject to main modification please refer to Publication SA).

## Narborough

Site Ref.	SA Objectives																			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	Summary
LP[065] 008	-	+	+	0	+	+	0	0	+	+	0	0	+	+	0	+	-	0	0	
<b>LP[065] 008 (Main Mods Update)</b>	-	+	+	0	+	+	0	0	+	+	0	0	+	+	0	+	-	0	0	The proposed main modification would ensure that open space is provided in accordance with policy ENV04; this should ensure that the open space provision would respond to the site size and requirement, more so than the specific amount previously included in the policy. This supports the previously assessed positive score for SA objective 13. Further emphasis is





# CAPITA

## Necton

Site Ref.	SA Objectives																			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	Summary
LP[067] 010	0	-	-	0	+	+	+	0	+	-	0	0	+	+	0	+	-	0	0	The site scores negatively against criteria 10 to reflect the impact of development on the un-designated heritage assets. The site scores neutrally against criteria 1 reflecting the brownfield nature of the site.
<b>LP[067] 010 (Main Mods Update)</b>	0	-	-	0	+	+	+	0	+	<b>0</b>	0	0	+	+	0	+	-	0	0	The proposed main modification improves the consideration given to the historic environment; this should overcome the concern regarding the impact upon the non designated heritage assets in the vicinity and so this improves the score against SA objective 10 from "-" to

																				"0". The proposed main modification would increase the number of dwellings at this allocation by 6. This would not have a significant effect beyond that already assessed.	
LP[067] 011	-	-	-	0	+	+	0	0	0	0	+	0	0	+	+	0	+	-	0	0	The site scores neutrally against criteria 7 reflecting the TPOs on site.
<b>LP[067] 011 (Main Mods Update)</b>	-	-	-	0	+	+	0	0	0	0	+	0	0	+	+	0	+	-	0	0	Further emphasis is given to the historic environment, supporting the positive assessment of SA objective 10.
<b>Summary comments - Publication version:</b>																					
<p>The lack of brownfield land in Necton means that nearly all sites do not perform positively against the Land, Water and Soil Resources SA objectives. Some sites represent a flood risk, either against fluvial flood risk or surface water flooding. This is represented in criteria 7 and includes the following sites: LP[067]004, 005, 005A, 007, 008, 012 and 013. The majority of the sites score well against criteria regarding Population and Human health, Inclusive Communities and Economic Activity. (For assessment of sites not allocated/subject to main modification please refer to Publication SA).</p>																					
<b>Overall effect of proposed main modification upon SA assessment:</b>																					

# CAPITA

The proposed main modifications would further support the conservation of the historic environment. Otherwise there would be no significant effect arising from the proposed main modifications.

## North Elmham

Site Ref.	SA Objectives																			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	Summary
LP[070] 001	-	+	+	0	+	-	0	0	0	0	+	0	+	+	0	+	-	0	0	The site scores neutrally against criteria 10 due to the potential impact upon the adjoining conservation area. The site scores negatively against criteria 6 due to the levels of flood risk on the site.
<b>LP[070] 001 (Main Mods Update)</b>	-	+	+	0	+	-	0	0	0	+	+	0	+	+	0	+	-	0	0	Further emphasis is given to the historic environment, which would amend the assessment of SA objective 10 from neutral to positive.
LP[070] 007	-	+	+	0	+	+	0	0	+	-	+	0	+	+	0	+	-	0	0	The site scores negatively against criteria 10 due to the potential impact upon the historic environment.

# CAPITA

<b>LP[070] 007 (Main Mods Update)</b>	-	+	+	0	+	+	0	0	+	0	+	0	+	+	0	+	-	0	0	Further emphasis is given to the historic environment, which would amend the assessment of SA objective 10 from negative to neutral.
<b>Summary comments - Publication version:</b> The majority of the sites are situated upon greenfield land, with the exception of 006. Sites to the west are situated predominantly upon agricultural land of Grade 2/3 with sites to the very east of the settlement being situated upon lower grade agricultural land. With the exception of sites 002, 006, 010, 011 and 012 the sites are all within close proximity to services and facilities. (For assessment of sites not allocated/subject to main modification please refer to Publication SA).																				
<b>Overall effect of proposed main modification upon SA assessment:</b> The proposed main modifications would further support the conservation of the historic environment. Otherwise there would be no significant effect arising from the proposed main modifications.																				

## Old Buckenham

Site Ref.	SA Objectives																			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	Summary
LP[074] 014	-	-	-	0	+	+	0	0	-	+	0	0	+	+	0	+	-	0	0	The site scores negatively against criteria 2 and 3 due to being in a groundwater source protection zone.
LP[074] 014 (Main Mods Update)	-	-	-	0	+	+	0	0	0	+	0	0	+	+	0	+	-	0	0	Further emphasis is given to the historic environment, which would support the positive assessment of SA objective 10 . The proposed main modification would ensure greater consideration would be given to design when considering development proposals; for this reason the score against SA objective 9 would change from negative to neutral.
<b>Summary comments - Publication version:</b>																				

# CAPITA

All sites in Old Buckenham are greenfield and so do not perform well against SA objective 1. Otherwise most sites tend to perform well on balance. Sites to the south of the village score negatively against criteria 2 and 3 due to being situated within a groundwater source protection zone. Sites LP[074]003, 006, 007, 013, 015 & 016 score negatively against criteria 6 due to fluvial and or surface water flooding. All sites score negatively against criteria 9 due to the landscape character of the area; the Old Buckenham Fringe character area is of high sensitivity. The majority of the sites score positively against criteria regarding population and human health & inclusive communities. (For assessment of sites not allocated/subject to main modification please refer to Publication SA).

**Overall effect of proposed main modification upon SA assessment:**

The proposed main modifications would further support the conservation of the historic environment and would help to avoid negative impacts with regards to design. Otherwise there would be no significant effect arising from the proposed main modifications.

## Shipdham

Site Ref.	SA Objectives																			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	Summary
LP[085] 002	-	-	-	0	+	+	0	0	0	+	+	0	+	+	0	+	-	0	0	
<b>LP[085] 002 (Main Mods Update)</b>	-	-	-	0	+	+	0	0	0	+	+	0	+	+	0	+	-	0	0	The difference of two dwellings is unlikely to give rise to significant impacts. Further emphasis is given to the historic environment, which would support the previously assessed positive outcome for SA objective 10.
LP[085] 006	-	-	-	0	+	+	0	0	0	+	+	0	+	+	0	+	-	0	0	
<b>LP[085] 006 (Main Mods Update)</b>	-	-	-	0	+	+	0	0	0	+	+	0	+	+	0	+	-	0	0	Further emphasis is given to the historic environment, which would support the previously assessed positive outcome for SA objective 10.
<b>Summary comments - Publication version:</b>																				



All of the sites score poorly against criteria 1 due to the lack of brownfield sites in the settlement and the area being of grade 3 agricultural land. The majority of the sites within Shipdham score negatively against criteria 2 and 3 due to being situated within groundwater source protection zones. There is little difference between the majority of the sites in Shipdham in regards to access to services and facilities as most of the sites are located on the edge of the existing settlement boundary. (For assessment of sites not allocated/subject to main modification please refer to Publication SA).

**Overall effect of proposed main modification upon SA assessment:**

The proposed main modifications would further support the conservation of the historic environment. Otherwise there would be no significant effect arising from the proposed main modifications.

## Sporle

Site Ref.	SA Objectives																			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	Summary
LP[092] 005	-	-	-	0	0	+	0	0	+	+	+	0	+	+	+	+	-	0	0	The site is situated within zone 3 of a groundwater source protection zone.
<b>LP[092] 005 (Main Mods Update)</b>	-	-	-	0	0	+	0	0	+	+	+	0	+	+	+	+	-	0	0	The proposed main modification would ensure that open space is provided in accordance with policy ENV04; this should ensure that the open space provision would respond to the site size and requirement, more so than the specific amount previously included in the policy. This supports the previously assessed positive score for SA objective 13. Further emphasis is given to the historic environment, supporting the positive assessment of SA objective 10.
<b>Summary comments - Publication version:</b>																				

Sites in Sporle score similarly in regards to SA objectives, with site LP[092]002 scoring the best in terms of the SA criteria. A number of the sites fall within Zone 3 of a groundwater source protection zone, which is reflected in criteria 2 & 3. Sites LP[092]003, 004 and 007 are considered to have potential impact upon nearby listed buildings, which is reflected against criteria 10. A number of the sites score neutrally against criteria 5 and 11; however, many of these sites are distant from key services and facilities. (For assessment of sites not allocated/subject to main modification please refer to Publication SA).

**Overall effect of proposed main modification upon SA assessment:**

The proposed main modifications would further support the provision of open space and conservation of the historic environment. Otherwise there would be no significant effect arising from the proposed main modifications.

## Swanton Morley

Site Ref.	SA Objectives																			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	Summary
LP[098] 013	-	+	+	0	+	+	0	0	+	+	+	0	0	+	0	+	-	0	0	
<b>LP[098] 013 (Main Mods Update)</b>	-	+	+	0	+	+	0	0	+	+	+	0	+	+	0	+	-	0	0	<p>The proposed main modification would ensure that open space is provided in accordance with policy ENV04; this should ensure that the open space provision would respond to the site size and requirement, more so than the specific amount previously included in the policy. This would see an improvement to a positive score for SA objective 13. Further emphasis is given to the historic environment, supporting the positive assessment</p>



## Employment allocations

### Attleborough

Site Ref.	SA Objectives																			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	Summary
LP[002] 007	-	+	+	-	0	+	+	+	+	+	0	0	-	+	0	0	-	+	+	<p>The site scores negatively against criteria 1 due to being situated upon greenfield agricultural land of grade 3 quality. The site scores neutrally against criteria 5 and 11 although the site is distant from key services. The site scores negatively against criteria 13 due to the site being distant from open space.</p>

# CAPITA

<p>LP[002] 007 (Main Mods Update)</p>	-	+	+	-	0	+	+	+	+	+	0	0	-	+	0	0	-	+	+	<p>Further emphasis is given to the historic environment, supporting the positive assessment of SA objective 10.</p>
<p>LP[002] 029</p>	-	+	+	-	0	0	+	+	+	-	0	0	-	+	0	0	-	+	+	<p>The site scores negatively against criteria 1 due to being situated upon greenfield agricultural land of grade 3 quality. The site scores negatively against criteria 10 due to the potential impact upon the nearby Grade II listed building. The site scores neutrally against criteria 5 and 11 although the site is distant from key services. The site scores negatively against criteria 13 due to</p>





# CAPITA

The majority of the sites are situated upon agricultural land of high agricultural grade. The only exception being site LP[002]035, which is partially situated upon previously developed land. Some of the sites are distant from the main services and facilities within the town. Site LP[002]029 scores negatively against criteria 10 due to the potential impact upon the nearby Grade II listed building. Site LP[002]002 scores negatively against criteria 6 due to partial flooding on the site itself. (For assessment of sites not allocated/subject to main modification please refer to Publication SA).

**Overall effect of proposed main modification upon SA assessment:**

The proposed main modifications would support the conservation of the historic environment. Otherwise there would be no significant effect arising from the proposed main modifications.

# CAPITA

## Dereham

(For assessment of sites not allocated/subject to main modification please refer to Publication SA).

# CAPITA

## Snetterton

(For assessment of sites not allocated/subject to main modification please refer to Publication SA)

# CAPITA

## Swaffham

(For assessment of sites not allocated/subject to main modification please refer to Publication SA)