

Comments

Main Modifications to the Local Plan (18/02/19 to 01/04/19)

Comment by	Historic England (Ms Debbie Mack - 56252)
Comment ID	235
Response Date	01/04/19 15:43
Consultation Point	Kenninghall Housing Allocation 1 (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Letter
Version	0.2
Do you consider the proposed modification is: (please mark the appropriate box)	. Sound?

If you consider the proposed Main Modification to be UNSOUND, please identify which test of soundness your representation relates to?

Please give us details as to why you think the Local Plan with proposed modifications is NOT legally compliant or sound?

We welcome the addition of policy criterion 1 in relation to the historic environment to ensure that due regard is given to the impact of the proposal on the historic environment.

Comment by	Historic England (Ms Debbie Mack - 56252)
Comment ID	234
Response Date	01/04/19 15:42
Consultation Point	Harling Housing Allocation 1 (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Letter
Version	0.2
Do you consider the proposed modification is: (please mark the appropriate box)	. Sound?

Please give us details as to why you think the Local Plan with proposed modifications is NOT legally compliant or sound?

We welcome the addition of policy criterion 10 in relation to the historic environment to ensure that due regard is given to the impact of the proposal on the historic environment.

How would you like to be notified?

Comment by	Historic England (Ms Debbie Mack - 56252)
Comment ID	233
Response Date	01/04/19 15:41
Consultation Point	Garboldisham Housing Allocation 1 (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Letter
Version	0.2
Do you consider the proposed modification is: (please mark the appropriate box)	. Sound?

If you consider the proposed Main Modification to be UNSOUND, please identify which test of soundness your representation relates to?

Please give us details as to why you think the Local Plan with proposed modifications is NOT legally compliant or sound?

We welcome the addition of policy criterion 9 in relation to the historic environment to ensure that due regard is given to the impact of the proposal on the historic environment.

Please set out what changes you consider necessary to make the proposed main modifications to the Local Plan legally compliant or sound.

The policy would be improved by specific mention of heritage assets, as in MM40

Comment by	Historic England (Ms Debbie Mack - 56252)
Comment ID	232
Response Date	01/04/19 15:40
Consultation Point	Banham Housing Allocation 1 (View)
Status	Processed

Submission Type	Letter
Version	0.2
Do you consider the proposed modification is: (please mark the appropriate box)	

Please give us details as to why you think the Local Plan with proposed modifications is NOT legally compliant or sound?

We welcome the amendment to criterion 5 to ensure that due regard is given to the impact of the proposal on the historic environment.

How would you like to be notified?

Comment by	Historic England (Ms Debbie Mack - 56252)
Comment ID	231
Response Date	01/04/19 15:40
Consultation Point	Ashill Housing Allocation 1 (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Letter
Version	0.2

Do you consider the proposed modification is: (please mark the appropriate box)

If you consider the proposed Main Modification to be UNSOUND, please identify which test of soundness your representation relates to?

Please give us details as to why you think the Local Plan with proposed modifications is NOT legally compliant or sound?

We welcome the amendment to criterion 2 to ensure that due regard is given to the impact of the proposal on the historic environment.

Comment by	Historic England (Ms Debbie Mack - 56252)
Comment ID	230
Response Date	01/04/19 15:39
Consultation Point	Watton Housing Allocation 2 (View)

Status	Proc	essed
Submission Type	Lette	er
Version	0.2	
Do you consider the proposed modification is: (please mark the appropriate box)		Sound?

Please give us details as to why you think the Local Plan with proposed modifications is NOT legally compliant or sound?

We welcome the amendment to criterion 9 to ensure that due regard is given to the impact of the proposal on the historic environment

How would you like to be notified?

Comment by	Ms Debbie Mack (1208234)
Comment ID	229
Response Date	01/04/19 15:38
Consultation Point	Watton Housing Allocation 1 (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Letter
Version	0.3
Do you consider the proposed modification is: (please mark the appropriate box)	. Sound?

If you consider the proposed Main Modification to be UNSOUND, please identify which test of soundness your representation relates to?

Please give us details as to why you think the Local Plan with proposed modifications is NOT legally compliant or sound?

We welcome the amendment to criterion 10 to ensure that due regard is given to the impact of the proposal on the historic environment.

Please set out what changes you consider necessary to make the proposed main modifications to the Local Plan legally compliant or sound.

The policy would be improved by specific mention of heritage assets, as in MM40

Comment by	Historic England (Ms Debbie Mack - 56252)
Comment ID	228
Response Date	01/04/19 15:37
Consultation Point	Swaffham Housing Allocation 6 (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Letter
Version	0.2

Do you consider the proposed modification is: (please mark the appropriate box)

If you consider the proposed Main Modification to be UNSOUND, please identify which test of soundness your representation relates to?

Please give us details as to why you think the Local Plan with proposed modifications is NOT legally compliant or sound?

We welcome the amendment to criterion 3 to ensure that due regard is given to the impact of the proposal on the historic environment.

How would you like to be notified?

Comment by	Historic England (Ms Debbie Mack - 56252)
Comment ID	222
Response Date	01/04/19 15:27
Consultation Point	Swaffham Housing Allocation 5 (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Letter
Version	0.2

Do you consider the proposed modification is: (please mark the appropriate box)

If you consider the proposed Main Modification to be UNSOUND, please identify which test of soundness your representation relates to?

Please give us details as to why you think the Local Plan with proposed modifications is NOT legally compliant or sound?

We welcome the amendment to criterion 4 to reference the Historic Characterisation study.

Comment by	Historic England (Ms Debbie Mack - 56252)
Comment ID	227
Response Date	01/04/19 15:27
Consultation Point	Swaffham Housing Allocation 5 (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Letter
Version	0.2

Do you consider the proposed modification is: (please mark the appropriate box)

If you consider the proposed Main Modification to be UNSOUND, please identify which test of soundness your representation relates to?

Please give us details as to why you think the Local Plan with proposed modifications is NOT legally compliant or sound?

We welcome the amendment to criterion 4 to reference the Historic Characterisation study.

Comment by Historic England (Ms Debbie Mack - 56252) **Comment ID** 221 **Response Date** 01/04/19 15:25 **Consultation Point** Dereham Housing Allocation 4 (View) Processed Status Submission Type Letter Version 0.3 Do you consider the proposed modification is: Sound? (please mark the appropriate box)

How would you like to be notified?

If you consider the proposed Main Modification to be UNSOUND, please identify which test of soundness your representation relates to?

Please give us details as to why you think the Local Plan with proposed modifications is NOT legally compliant or sound?

We welcome the amendment to criterion 4 to ensure that due regard is given to the impact of the proposal on the historic environment.

Please set out what changes you consider necessary to make the proposed main modifications to the Local Plan legally compliant or sound.

The policy would be improved by specific mention of heritage assets, as in MM40

Comment by	Historic England (Ms Debbie Mack - 56252)
Comment ID	220
Response Date	01/04/19 15:24
Consultation Point	Swaffham Housing Allocation 3 (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Letter
Version	0.3

Do you consider the proposed modification is: (please mark the appropriate box)

How would you like to be notified?

If you consider the proposed Main Modification to be UNSOUND, please identify which test of soundness your representation relates to?

Please give us details as to why you think the Local Plan with proposed modifications is NOT legally compliant or sound?

We welcome the amendment to criterion 4 to reference the Historic Characterisation study.

Comment by	Historic England (Ms Debbie Mack - 56252)
Comment ID	219
Response Date	01/04/19 15:22
Consultation Point	3.151 Paragraph (<u>View</u>)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Letter
Version	0.3

How would you like to be notified?

Do you consider the proposed modification is: (please mark the appropriate box)

If you consider the proposed Main Modification to be UNSOUND, please identify which test of soundness your representation relates to?

Please give us details as to why you think the Local Plan with proposed modifications is NOT legally compliant or sound?

We welcome reference to archaeology in this paragraph.

How would you like to be notified?

Comment by	Historic England (Ms Debbie Mack - 56252)
Comment ID	218
Response Date	01/04/19 15:21
Consultation Point	Dereham Housing Allocation 5 (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Letter
Version	0.2

Do you consider the proposed modification is: (please mark the appropriate box)

If you consider the proposed Main Modification to be UNSOUND, please identify which test of soundness your representation relates to?

Please give us details as to why you think the Local Plan with proposed modifications is NOT legally compliant or sound?

We welcome the addition of policy criterion 6 in relation to the historic environment to ensure that due regard is given to the impact of the proposal on the historic environment. However, in our hearing statement we highlighted the need for all Dereham site allocations to refer to the need to undertake a desk based archaeological assessment and field evaluation if necessary. This does not appear to have been included in the revised policy wording.

Please set out what changes you consider necessary to make the proposed main modifications to the Local Plan legally compliant or sound.

The policy would be improved by specific mention of heritage assets, as in MM40. The policy should also refer to the need to undertake a desk based archaeological assessment and field evaluation if necessary

Comment by	Historic England (Ms Debbie Mack - 56252)
Comment ID	217
Response Date	01/04/19 15:14
Consultation Point	3.150 Paragraph (<u>View</u>)
Status	Processed

Submission Type	Lette	er
Version	0.3	
Do you consider the proposed modification is: (please mark the appropriate box)	•	Sound?
If you consider the proposed Main Modification		

Please give us details as to why you think the Local Plan with proposed modifications is NOT legally compliant or sound?

We welcome reference to archaeology in this paragraph.

How would you like to be notified?

Comment by	Historic England (Ms Debbie Mack - 56252)
Comment ID	216
Response Date	01/04/19 15:11
Consultation Point	Dereham Housing Allocation 4 (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Letter
Version	0.4
Do you consider the proposed modification is: (please mark the appropriate box)	. Sound?

If you consider the proposed Main Modification to be UNSOUND, please identify which test of soundness your representation relates to?

Please give us details as to why you think the Local Plan with proposed modifications is NOT legally compliant or sound?

We welcome the amendment of policy criterion 3 in relation to the historic environment to ensure that due regard is given to the impact of the proposal on the historic environment. However, in our hearing statement we

highlighted the need for all Dereham site allocations to refer to the need to undertake a desk based archaeological assessment and field evaluation if necessary and reflect the recommendations of the Historic Characterisation Study. This does not appear to have been included in the revised policy wording.

Please set out what changes you consider necessary to make the proposed main modifications to the Local Plan legally compliant or sound.

The policy should also refer to the need to undertake a desk based archaeological

assessment and field evaluation if necessary and reflect the recommendations of the Historic Characterisation Study

How would you like to be notified?

Comment by	Historic England (Ms Debbie Mack - 56252)
Comment ID	215
Response Date	01/04/19 15:09
Consultation Point	3.144 Paragraph (<u>View</u>)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Letter
Version	0.3
Do you consider the proposed modification is: (please mark the appropriate box)	. Sound?
If you consider the proposed Main Modification to be UNSOUND, please identify which test of	

Please give us details as to why you think the Local Plan with proposed modifications is NOT legally compliant or sound?

We welcome reference to archaeology in this paragraph.

How would you like to be notified?

soundness your representation relates to?

Comment by	Historic England (Ms Debbie Mack - 56252)
Comment ID	214
Response Date	01/04/19 15:08
Consultation Point	Dereham Housing Allocation 3 (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Letter
Version	0.3
Do you consider the proposed modification is: (please mark the appropriate box)	. Sound?
If you consider the proposed Main Modification to be UNSOUND, please identify which test of soundness your representation relates to?	

Please give us details as to why you think the Local Plan with proposed modifications is NOT legally compliant or sound?

In our hearing statement we highlighted the need for all Dereham site allocations to refer to the need to undertake a desk based archaeological assessment and field evaluation if necessary and reflect the recommendations of the Historic Characterisation Study. This does not appear to have been included in the revised policy wording.

Please set out what changes you consider necessary to make the proposed main modifications to the Local Plan legally compliant or sound.

The policy should also refer to the need to undertake a desk based archaeological assessment and field evaluation if necessary and reflect the recommendations of the Historic Characterisation Study



Comments

Main Modifications to the Local Plan (18/02/19 to 01/04/19)

Comment by	Historic England (Ms Debbie Mack - 56252)
Comment ID	213
Response Date	01/04/19 15:05
Consultation Point	Dereham Housing Allocation 2 (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Letter
Version	0.3
Do you consider the proposed modification is: (please mark the appropriate box)	. Sound?

If you consider the proposed Main Modification to be UNSOUND, please identify which test of soundness your representation relates to?

How would you like to be notified?

Please give us details as to why you think the Local Plan with proposed modifications is NOT legally compliant or sound?

We welcome the amendment of policy criterion 4 in relation to the historic environment to ensure that due regard is given to the impact of the proposal on the historic environment. However, in our hearing statement we highlighted the need for all Dereham site allocations to refer to the need to undertake a desk based archaeological assessment and field evaluation if necessary and reflect the recommendations of the Historic Characterisation Study. This does not appear to have been included in the revised policy wording.

Please set out what changes you consider necessary to make the proposed main modifications to the Local Plan legally compliant or sound.

The policy would be improved by specific mention of heritage assets, as in MM40. The policy should also refer to the need to undertake a desk based archaeological assessment and field evaluation if necessary and reflect the recommendations of the Historic Characterisation Study.

Comment by	Historic England (Ms Debbie Mack - 56252)
Comment ID	212
Response Date	01/04/19 15:02

Consultation Point	Dereham Housing Allocation 1 (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Letter
Version	0.3
Do you consider the proposed modification is: (please mark the appropriate box)	. Sound?

Please give us details as to why you think the Local Plan with proposed modifications is NOT legally compliant or sound?

We welcome the addition of policy criterion 6 in relation to the historic environment to ensure that due regard is given to the impact of the proposal on the historic environment.

However, in our hearing statement we highlighted the need for all Dereham site allocations to refer to the need to undertake a desk based archaeological assessment and field evaluation if necessary and reflect the recommendations of the Historic Characterisation Study. This does not appear to have been included in the revised policy wording.

Please set out what changes you consider necessary to make the proposed main modifications to the Local Plan legally compliant or sound.

The policy would be improved by specific mention of heritage assets, as in MM40. The policy should also refer to the need to undertake a desk based archaeological assessment and field evaluation if necessary

and reflect the recommendations of the Historic Characterisation Study

and reflect the recommendations of the Historic Characterisation Study.

Comment by	Historic England (Ms Debbie Mack - 56252)
Comment ID	211
Response Date	01/04/19 15:01
Consultation Point	Policy HOU 11 - Residential Replacement, Extension and Alteration (<u>View</u>)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Letter
Version	0.2

To which proposed Main Modification does your representation relate? Please state the relevant reference number that you are commenting on from the Schedule of Proposed Main Modifications e.g. MM1

HOU11

Do you consider the proposed modification is: (please mark the appropriate box)

If you consider the proposed Main Modification to be UNSOUND, please identify which test of soundness your representation relates to?

Please give us details as to why you think the Local Plan with proposed modifications is NOT legally compliant or sound?

This matter is addressed in policy ENV07

How would you like to be notified?

Comment by Comment ID	Historic England (Ms Debbie Mack - 56252) 210
Response Date	01/04/19 15:00
Consultation Point	GEN 4 - Development Requirements of Attleborough Strategic Urban Extension (SUE) Development Requirements of Attleborough Strategic Urban Extension (SUE) (<u>View</u>)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Letter
Version	0.2

To which proposed Main Modification does your representation relate? Please state the relevant reference number that you are commenting on from the Schedule of Proposed Main Modifications e.g. MM1

New figure after policy GEN4

Do you consider the proposed modification is: (please mark the appropriate box)

If you consider the proposed Main Modification to be UNSOUND, please identify which test of soundness your representation relates to? Please give us details as to why you think the Local Plan with proposed modifications is NOT legally compliant or sound?

We welcome the provision of this figure to provide clarity to the location and boundary of this site.

How would	vou like to	be notified?
	<i>j</i> • • • • • • •	

Comment by	Historic England (Ms Debbie Mack - 56252)
Comment ID	209
Response Date	01/04/19 14:58
Consultation Point	GEN 4 - Development Requirements of Attleborough Strategic Urban Extension (SUE) Development Requirements of Attleborough Strategic Urban Extension (SUE) (<u>View</u>)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Letter
Version	0.4

To which proposed Main Modification does your representation relate? Please state the relevant reference number that you are commenting on from the Schedule of Proposed Main Modifications e.g. MM1

Policy GEN4 5th para and criterion 15

Do you consider the proposed modification is:		Sound?
(please mark the appropriate box)		

If you consider the proposed Main Modification to be UNSOUND, please identify which test of soundness your representation relates to?

Please give us details as to why you think the Local Plan with proposed modifications is NOT legally compliant or sound?

We welcome the revisions to policy GEN4 as agreed in our Statement of Common Ground (SOCG). This ensures greater protection for the historic environment through the policy wording.



Comments

Main Modifications to the Local Plan (18/02/19 to 01/04/19)

Comment by	Historic England (Ms Debbie Mack - 56252)
Comment ID	208
Response Date	01/04/19 14:53
Consultation Point	2.22 Paragraph (<u>View</u>)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Letter
Version	0.3

To which proposed Main Modification does your representation relate? Please state the relevant reference number that you are commenting on from the Schedule of Proposed Main Modifications e.g. MM1

Supporting text, GEN 4, para 2.21 and 2.22

Do you consider the proposed modification is: (please mark the appropriate box)

If you consider the proposed Main Modification to be UNSOUND, please identify which test of soundness your representation relates to?

Please give us details as to why you think the Local Plan with proposed modifications is NOT legally compliant or sound?

We welcome the revisions to paragraph 2.21 and 2.22 as agreed in our Statement of Common Ground (SOCG). This sets out the wider context of the historic environment in the supporting text.

Comment by	Historic England (Ms Debbie Mack - 56252)
Comment ID	207
Response Date	01/04/19 14:51
Consultation Point	1.43 Paragraph (<u>View</u>)
Status	Processed

Submission Type	Letter
Version	0.3
Do you consider the proposed modification is: (please mark the appropriate box)	

Please give us details as to why you think the Local Plan with proposed modifications is NOT legally compliant or sound?

We welcome the change from un-designated to non-designated heritage assets. This is the correct terminology, consistent with the NPPF.