

## Comments

### Main Modifications to the Local Plan (18/02/19 to 01/04/19)

<b>Comment by</b>	Ms Megan Pashley (1208236)
<b>Comment ID</b>	186
<b>Response Date</b>	01/04/19 09:59
<b>Consultation Point</b>	HOU 04 - Villages with Boundaries ( <a href="#">View</a> )
<b>Status</b>	Processed
<b>Submission Type</b>	Letter
<b>Version</b>	0.2

**Do you consider the proposed modification is:**  
(please mark the appropriate box)

**If you consider the proposed Main Modification to be UNSOUND, please identify which test of soundness your representation relates to?**

**Please give us details as to why you think the Local Plan with proposed modifications is NOT legally compliant or sound?**

Gladman support the modifications made to Policy HOU 04 and note that the policy no longer seeks to limit development to up to 5 dwellings.

We consider that the amendments to the policy will allow villages to grow sustainably and deliver affordable housing in line with Policy HOU 07.

**How would you like to be notified?**

---

<b>Comment by</b>	Ms Megan Pashley (1208236)
<b>Comment ID</b>	185
<b>Response Date</b>	01/04/19 09:54
<b>Consultation Point</b>	Policy HOU 01- Development Requirements (Minimum) ( <a href="#">View</a> )
<b>Status</b>	Processed
<b>Submission Type</b>	Letter
<b>Version</b>	0.2

**Do you consider the proposed modification is:  
(please mark the appropriate box)**

**If you consider the proposed Main Modification  
to be UNSOUND, please identify which test of  
soundness your representation relates to?**

**Please give us details as to why you think the Local Plan with proposed modifications is NOT legally  
compliant or sound?**

Gladman are supportive of the modification made to Policy HOU 01 which removes the stepped housing requirement and sets an annualised requirement of a minimum of 612 dwellings over the plan period.

It remains Gladman's position that the identified housing target required is flawed as it fails to take adequate considerations of market signals as outlined in our previous submissions. We suggest that the significant affordability pressures currently facing the district are unlikely to be fulfilled without planning for uplift to the total housing provision.

**How would you like to be notified?**

## Comments

### Main Modifications to the Local Plan (18/02/19 to 01/04/19)

<b>Comment by</b>	Ms Megan Pashley (1208236)
<b>Comment ID</b>	189
<b>Response Date</b>	01/04/19 10:07
<b>Consultation Point</b>	Policy ENV 05 Protection and Enhancement of the Landscape ( <a href="#">View</a> )
<b>Status</b>	Processed
<b>Submission Type</b>	Letter
<b>Version</b>	0.2

**Do you consider the proposed modification is:  
(please mark the appropriate box)**

**If you consider the proposed Main Modification  
to be UNSOUND, please identify which test of  
soundness your representation relates to?**

**Please give us details as to why you think the Local Plan with proposed modifications is NOT legally  
compliant or sound?**

Gladman remain concerned that as worded Policy ENV 05 is not consistent with the approach by paragraph 113 of the Framework which refers to the need for criteria-based policies in relation to proposals affecting protected wildlife or geodiversity or landscapes areas, and that protection should be commensurate with their status and gives the appropriate weight to their importance and contribution to wider networks. As currently drafted, Gladman does not consider that this policy aligns with the requirements of the Framework.

**How would you like to be notified?**

---

<b>Comment by</b>	Ms Megan Pashley (1208236)
<b>Comment ID</b>	187
<b>Response Date</b>	01/04/19 10:00
<b>Consultation Point</b>	Policy HOU 05 - Small Villages and Hamlets Outside of Settlement Boundaries ( <a href="#">View</a> )
<b>Status</b>	Processed
<b>Submission Type</b>	Letter

Version 0.2

**Do you consider the proposed modification is:  
(please mark the appropriate box)**

**If you consider the proposed Main Modification  
to be UNSOUND, please identify which test of  
soundness your representation relates to?**

**Please give us details as to why you think the Local Plan with proposed modifications is NOT legally  
compliant or sound?**

Gladman support the modification deleting the exceptional circumstances test contained in HOU 05.  
We consider that to include any such test, would be unjustified and unsound.

**How would you like to be notified?**

---

<b>Comment by</b>	Ms Megan Pashley (1208236)
<b>Comment ID</b>	188
<b>Response Date</b>	01/04/19 10:00
<b>Consultation Point</b>	Policy HOU 10 - Technical Design Standards for New Homes ( <a href="#">View</a> )
<b>Status</b>	Processed
<b>Submission Type</b>	Letter
<b>Version</b>	0.4

**Do you consider the proposed modification is:  
(please mark the appropriate box)**

**If you consider the proposed Main Modification  
to be UNSOUND, please identify which test of  
soundness your representation relates to?**

**Please give us details as to why you think the Local Plan with proposed modifications is NOT legally  
compliant or sound?**

Policy HOU 10 previously sought to impose the optional technical standards for new homes as set out  
in the 2015 Written Ministerial Statement. Gladman did not consider that the policy in its previous form  
was supported by robust evidence and as such welcome the modification

**How would you like to be notified?**