

Comments

Main Modifications to the Local Plan (18/02/19 to 01/04/19)

Comment by	mr robert parfitt (455860)
Comment ID	91
Response Date	29/03/19 15:16
Consultation Point	Shipdham Housing Allocation 1 (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.1

To which proposed Main Modification does your representation relate? Please state the relevant reference number that you are commenting on from the Schedule of Proposed Main Modifications e.g. MM1

The allocation reduces from at least 25 to 23. This is because a mistake and double counting of a outline planning which had already been approved.

**Do you consider the proposed modification is:
(please mark the appropriate box)**

If you consider the proposed Main Modification to be UNSOUND, please identify which test of soundness your representation relates to?

- . Is it positively prepared?
- . Is it justified?
- . Is it effective?
- . Is it consistent with national policy?

Please give us details as to why you think the Local Plan with proposed modifications is NOT legally compliant or sound?

Double allocation of the 23 houses, the site covers and meets the recommendation based upon the Crows Hill plateau, which states that development should be completed to existing boundaries etc.... which this proposed changes does not support meet.

Please set out what changes you consider necessary to make the proposed main modifications to the Local Plan legally compliant or sound.

Double allocation of the 23 houses, the site covers and meets the recommendation based upon the Crows Hill plateau, which states that development should be completed to existing boundaries etc.... which this proposed changes does not support meet.

Would you like to be notified of future stages of the Local Plan? Yes

How would you like to be notified?

- . By post to my address
- . By email to my email address

Comment by mr robert parfitt (455860)
Comment ID 90
Response Date 29/03/19 15:12
Consultation Point Map 3.16 Summary of the Shipdham Allocations.
([View](#))
Status Processed
Submission Type Web
Version 0.1

To which proposed Main Modification does your representation relate? Please state the relevant reference number that you are commenting on from the Schedule of Proposed Main Modifications e.g. MM1

The map was based upon the additional of min 25 house based upon the original approval the outline planning permission. The council seem to have allocated the 25 houses onto the outline planning which was approved in early 2016.

**Do you consider the proposed modification is:
(please mark the appropriate box)**

If you consider the proposed Main Modification to be UNSOUND, please identify which test of soundness your representation relates to?

- . Is it positively prepared?
- . Is it justified?
- . Is it effective?
- . Is it consistent with national policy?

Please give us details as to why you think the Local Plan with proposed modifications is NOT legally compliant or sound?

Because it is re allocating the numbers on original allocated houses which was approved by outline planning. This area has less impact because of the existing hedges than the other allocation, infact to the Crows Hill and Thorpe plateau report identify this as less sensitive than the other allocation which is identified as a High sensitivity and allocated on the conservation area of the village

Please set out what changes you consider necessary to make the proposed main modifications to the Local Plan legally compliant or sound.

Keep the allocation as was, or reduce the other village allocation down.

Would you like to be notified of future stages of the Local Plan? Yes

How would you like to be notified?

- . By post to my address
- . By email to my email address