## Comments

## Main Modifications to the Local Plan (18/02/19 to 01/04/19)

| Comment by | Mr Richard Hawker (974916) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Comment ID | 83 |
| Response Date | $28 / 03 / 1909: 06$ |
| Consultation Point | 3.253 Paragraph (View) |
| Status | Processed |
| Submission Type | Web |
| Version | 0.1 |

To which proposed Main Modification does your representation relate? Please state the relevant reference number that you are commenting on from the Schedule of Proposed Main Modifications e.g. MM1

MM73 para 3.240 As the plan period is deemed to start once it has been approved (i.e. in the future) it is irrelevant and confusing to include the 67 houses which have already received planning permission. The plan need only quote 25 houses, and make clear that the $10 \%$ quoted is based on $10 \%$ of the houses in existence as at March 2016. It also needs to reiterate the point to be made in para 3.243 that 12 of those 25 have already been granted outline planning permission on Hockering Allocation 1 (LP044(005)).

Do you consider the proposed modification is:
(please mark the appropriate box)

If you consider the proposed Main Modification Is it justified? to be UNSOUND, please identify which test of soundness your representation relates to?

Please give us details as to why you think the Local Plan with proposed modifications is NOT legally compliant or sound?

The figures quoted, and the wording explaining them, are confusing and irrelevant.

Please set out what changes you consider necessary to make the proposed main modifications to the Local Plan legally compliant or sound.

First sentence: add 'up to' in front of '2036'. Second and third sentence: delete entirely. Fourth sentence: add 'Thus' to start of sentence.

Would you like to be notified of future stages of Yes the Local Plan?

How would you like to be notified?
By email to my email address

