

## Comments

### Main Modifications to the Local Plan (18/02/19 to 01/04/19)

Comment by	Attleborough Land Ltd (1209330)
Comment ID	72
Response Date	27/03/19 16:03
Consultation Point	GEN 4 - Development Requirements of Attleborough Strategic Urban Extension (SUE) Development Requirements of Attleborough Strategic Urban Extension (SUE) ( <a href="#">View</a> )
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.2

To which proposed Main Modification does your representation relate? Please state the relevant reference number that you are commenting on from the Schedule of Proposed Main Modifications e.g. MM1

Policy GEN 04 (MIN7, MIN8 and MIN 9)

Do you consider the proposed modification is:  
(please mark the appropriate box)

If you consider the proposed Main Modification to be UNSOUND, please identify which test of soundness your representation relates to?

Please give us details as to why you think the Local Plan with proposed modifications is NOT legally compliant or sound?

In terms of the proposed Minor Modifications (MIN7, MIN8 and MIN9), these are considered to be minor textual changes/corrections and updates and no objection is raised

How would you like to be notified?  By email to my email address

---

Comment by	Attleborough Land (1209332)
Comment ID	71
Response Date	27/03/19 15:56
Consultation Point	GEN 4 - Development Requirements of Attleborough Strategic Urban Extension (SUE) Development

<b>Status</b>	Processed
<b>Submission Type</b>	Web
<b>Version</b>	0.3

**To which proposed Main Modification does your representation relate? Please state the relevant reference number that you are commenting on from the Schedule of Proposed Main Modifications e.g. MM1**

Policy GEn 04 (MM8)

**Do you consider the proposed modification is:  
(please mark the appropriate box)**

**If you consider the proposed Main Modification to be UNSOUND, please identify which test of soundness your representation relates to?**

**Please give us details as to why you think the Local Plan with proposed modifications is NOT legally compliant or sound?**

In terms of proposed Main Modification MM8, which is a change to illustrate the boundary of the SUE, there does seem to be some minor anomalies between the proposed boundary of the SUE and the red line of the planning application. For instance, there is a minor anomaly at the southern part of the SUE (adjacent to and including the proposed link road corridor) and also at its far north eastern boundary with Old Hall Farm, where there is a slight variance in the two boundaries. The SUE plan also includes the electricity sub-station opposite Slough Lane, which is not part of the application redline, but may have been included within the SUE boundary intentionally. If the intention is for the Local Plan SUE boundary and the SUE planning application boundary to align and reflect what Planning Committee has resolved to approve, then it is suggested that the SUE boundary may need a further (minor) modification. The anomalies are considered to be a minor drafting errors, and not fundamental to the Plan's soundness. I attach a copy of the planning application red line boundary. This information can be made available in CAD format.

**How would you like to be notified?**  By email to my email address