

Comments

Main Modifications to the Local Plan (18/02/19 to 01/04/19)

Comment by	Little Ellingham Parish Council (Peter Griffiths - 1204563)
Comment ID	61
Response Date	27/03/19 12:09
Consultation Point	Policy HOU 05 - Small Villages and Hamlets Outside of Settlement Boundaries (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.1

To which proposed Main Modification does your representation relate? Please state the relevant reference number that you are commenting on from the Schedule of Proposed Main Modifications e.g. MM1

MM18 (HOU 05 Small Villages and Hamlets outside of Settlement Boundaries – criterion 2 and 3)

Do you consider the proposed modification is:
(please mark the appropriate box)

If you consider the proposed Main Modification to be UNSOUND, please identify which test of soundness your representation relates to? . Is it consistent with national policy?

Please give us details as to why you think the Local Plan with proposed modifications is NOT legally compliant or sound?

In criterion 2, the term 'of an appropriate scale' is not clearly defined; its inclusion is therefore contrary to paragraph 16d of the NPPF which states that "policies....are clearly written and unambiguous, so that it is evident how a decision maker should react to development proposals".

Please set out what changes you consider necessary to make the proposed main modifications to the Local Plan legally compliant or sound.

Criterion 2 should be changed to provide a more definitive test, or criterion 3 should be reinstated so that a parish council can contribute a more local assessment of appropriateness.

Would you like to be notified of future stages of the Local Plan? Yes

How would you like to be notified? . By email to my email address

Comment by Little Ellingham Parish Council (Peter Griffiths - 1204563)
Comment ID 60
Response Date 27/03/19 12:08
Consultation Point GEN 1 - Sustainable Development in Breckland ([View](#))
Status Processed
Submission Type Web
Version 0.1

To which proposed Main Modification does your representation relate? Please state the relevant reference number that you are commenting on from the Schedule of Proposed Main Modifications e.g. MM1

MM4 (GEN1 Sustainable Development in Breckland)

Do you consider the proposed modification is:
(please mark the appropriate box)

If you consider the proposed Main Modification to be UNSOUND, please identify which test of soundness your representation relates to? . Is it consistent with national policy?

Please give us details as to why you think the Local Plan with proposed modifications is NOT legally compliant or sound?

Paragraph 8c of the NPPF states that sustainable development should "contribute to protecting and enhancing our natural, built and historic environment". This wording is not qualified, and so the addition in MM4 of "where possible" is inappropriate.

Please set out what changes you consider necessary to make the proposed main modifications to the Local Plan legally compliant or sound.

Remove the words "where possible".

Would you like to be notified of future stages of the Local Plan? Yes

How would you like to be notified? . By email to my email address

Comments

Main Modifications to the Local Plan (18/02/19 to 01/04/19)

Comment by	Little Ellingham Parish Council (Peter Griffiths - 1204563)
Comment ID	63
Response Date	27/03/19 12:10
Consultation Point	Policy HOU 05 - Small Villages and Hamlets Outside of Settlement Boundaries (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.1

To which proposed Main Modification does your representation relate? Please state the relevant reference number that you are commenting on from the Schedule of Proposed Main Modifications e.g. MM1

MM18 (HOU05 Small Villages and Hamlets outside of Settlement Boundaries – criterion 5)

Do you consider the proposed modification is:
(please mark the appropriate box)

If you consider the proposed Main Modification to be UNSOUND, please identify which test of soundness your representation relates to? . Is it consistent with national policy?

Please give us details as to why you think the Local Plan with proposed modifications is NOT legally compliant or sound?

The removal of criterion 5 contravenes paragraphs 127c and 170b of the NPPF

Please set out what changes you consider necessary to make the proposed main modifications to the Local Plan legally compliant or sound.

Criterion 5 should be reinstated.

Would you like to be notified of future stages of the Local Plan? Yes

How would you like to be notified? . By email to my email address

Comment by	Little Ellingham Parish Council (Peter Griffiths - 1204563)
Comment ID	62
Response Date	27/03/19 12:09
Consultation Point	Policy GEN 05 Settlement Boundaries (View)
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.1

To which proposed Main Modification does your representation relate? Please state the relevant reference number that you are commenting on from the Schedule of Proposed Main Modifications e.g. MM1

MM11 (GEN05 Settlement Boundaries)

**Do you consider the proposed modification is:
(please mark the appropriate box)**

If you consider the proposed Main Modification to be UNSOUND, please identify which test of soundness your representation relates to? . Is it consistent with national policy?

Please give us details as to why you think the Local Plan with proposed modifications is NOT legally compliant or sound?

Paragraph 16d of the NPPF states that policies should be “clearly written and unambiguous.....”. Replacing “preserve” with “recognise” dilutes very significantly the strength of this policy. Recognise, in this context, is a passive verb, meaningless, and without teeth.

Please set out what changes you consider necessary to make the proposed main modifications to the Local Plan legally compliant or sound.

Revert to “preserve”.

Would you like to be notified of future stages of the Local Plan? Yes

How would you like to be notified? . By email to my email address