

Catalogue number	Thetford Community Governance Review Responses - Appendix F
T0001	Agree that the expansion area becomes part of Thetford, those individuals who live there will expect support and would want to use the roads and facilities of Thetford.
T0002	Please ensure that the expansion area becomes part of Thetford it is the only sensible answer
T0003	Agree, expansion should be parish of Thetford.
T0004	I will be moving to Thetford in the near future
T0005	The new houses will take services from Thetford and the boundary should be moved to account for that.
T0006	I agree with the proposal to extend the boundary.
T0007	I agree Thetford boundary should be extended to include the new area.
T0008	I agree with the proposal to make this land part of the district of Thetford
T0009	On the whole I generally agree with the proposals to incorporate the new development into the Thetford Town Council Boundary. The only exception for me would be the area of new build on the Kilverstone farmland above Tesco and the garden centre. In my honest opinion this should also be incorporated, however given that Arlington Way is not in Thetford Town Council boundary but is instead in Brettenham, I can see this area being similar. I would rather see this area and Arlington Way added to Thetford however as Thetford is the obvious town which residents in these areas will utilise the services of. I'm not quite sure what Brettenham or Kilverstone Parish Councils actually do in terms of providing services to those residents instead of Thetford.
T0010	Agree with the proposal
T0011	Thetford TC are right to request the expansion as this will mean the Thetford Town will get support it needs to service these new houses. If this is not done then the money i taxes from the new houses will go to the other areas but Thetford town will still be supporting the new housing but without the add tax income support
T0012	It is clear that all the people who move into all the new developments will go into Thetford for their shopping, eating out, doctors, fuel, work etc etc. The SUE has to be part of the Town, their council tax needs to go into the town that they will consider home. Do not create any divisions in the town, allow us all to be part of a forward thinking Thetford.
T0013	I agree that the parish boundary should be moved so that the SUE falls within the parish of Thetford and not the neighbouring villages and it will be Thetford who will be providing yo the amenities and should therefore receive the precept from these homes. I also support the increase in councillors numbers for Thetford town council.
T0014	I agree with the proposal
T0015	I agree that the boundary should be expanded to include the new estate.
T0016	Agree, the town needs this development to be in Thetford so it's not taken in isolation when considering the economy of Thetford
T0017	I agree
T0018	It's a great opportunity to expand Thetford and secure more funding for the town.
T0019	Agree that the Thetford boundary should be extended to include the new developments
T0020	I AGREE with the proposals for Thetford.
T0021	Disagree with the sue boundry being altered as the developement will help the local parishes of Croxton, Brettenham & Kilverstone expand. These parishes would then benefit from the additional income to improve the facillities within them. Thetford Town Council has been attempting to gain control over parts of both Croxton and Brettenham fo many years, none of the residents feel there is any need to alter the boundries, as this would be detrimental to both parishes. Certainly Brettenham and Kilverstone would disappear as it would be unable to continue as a parish, as no doubt Thetford Town Council would also want Arlington Way to be included!!
T0022	I believe it is critical that the be houses are considered part of the Town for boundary purposes. They will geographically part of the town, and using town facilities. They should be able to hold town councillors accountable to decisions which impact them.
T0023	Agree with the proposals to include the SUE within the parish boundary of Thetford, so as to bring the whole town together. An not sure that we should expand existing electoral wards - why not leave existing wards more-or-less as is, but create new wards for the SUE? Am slightly concerned that the adjoining parishes (Croxton, Kilverstone, Brettenham) may suffer reduced council tax as a result of the plans - is anything in place to ensure their public services are not adversely affected by the proposed change?
T0024	I agree with the town council

T0025	I agree with these proposals , the SUE area is more near Thetford , and the new occupants wil look toThetford for services , retail , banking, and to attend events at the only major facility in the area , (Carnegi Rooms) provided by Thetford Town council . also the town maintains the Castle Park with its childrens play equipment ,and the cost of the Barnham common ,a popular walking place , Spring walks , and Melford common almost in the town centre , when visiting Thetford , and having to spend a penny, they will use toilets maintained at the cost of the ratepayers of Thetford , for many years there has been a sense of unfairness that the residents of Arlington Way have enjoyed all the benefits of Thetford with out paying a penny towards the cost , and is two miles from Bridgham , and to all intents is part of the town. in the case of the expansion north of Thetford the same arguments can be made , these people will also look to Thetford for services , in the case of fairness there is no doubt these proposals should be implemented .
T0026	It would be sensible and practical for the boundaries of Thetford to go to the bypass, thus including proposed new development within Thetford. Arlington way and hill house lane existing developments would then also be included.
T0027	The proposed new development will be a welcome addition to the town, however for all practical purposes it would seem sensible for the boundaries of Thetford to be extended to include the development.
T0028	I fully support the proposed changes that would see Thetford boundary expand and the number of Town Councillors increase. Thetford desperately struggles now with an aging infrastructure and if the 106 money from the housing developers is not directed at the Town Council then current town residents can only expect the situation to decline further. It is plainly obvious that the smaller parish councils whilst 'doing the job' now for the smaller communities do not have the capacity to cope with such a large sudden increase. The expansion of Town Council will only go to keeping Thetford on the map, were it certainly belongs as a major and important market Town in the Breckland district.
T0029	I believe that Thetford's boundary should be enlarged to include the new housing estates to be built on the edge of town. As the occupants of the new estates will use Thetford's infrastructure ie doctors schools etc, Thetford should be able to gain financial assistance from those estates. As to increasing the council members, with more people in town they will need representation.
T0030	I feel the Therefore boundary should be enlarged to include the SUE.
T0031	Here we go again. We had this consultation about 10 years ago now and we were told at that time that Croxton boundary's would never be changed. This was from 2 Norfolk county councillors. It was declared that the reason for wanting us to go into Thetford was a financial one only. I am registering my opposition to this proposal.
T0032	I agree with the proposals as the new developments are expanding the town boundaries, they are not an expansion of the villages. New residents will avail themselves of town amenities and costs should be shared equally.
T0033	I absolutely agree with Thetford Town Council's proposal to include peripheral area parishes into the Thetford Town Parish. Up to now the various, peripheral boundary parish area's populations have been a much lesser percentage of the the actual Thetford town parish population. On completion of the proposed expansion scheme the balance will become greatly altered, and therefore a UNITED Thetford is a common sense solution so that beneficial decisions for all can be made by all.
T0034	I agree with Thetford Town Council extending its boundary.
T0035	I agree with the proposal to extend the parish boundary. Having more money towards facilities through council tax would greatly benefit Thetford town.
T0036	I agree that the Town Council should extend its boundaries to include the new SUE.
T0037	This proposal must NOT go ahead unless the boundaries are moved to include these houses into Thetford council area otherwise Thetfords utilities and facilities will be used on the cheap and probably end up costing us more
T0038	I think the boundary's need to be moved to include the new houses. Surely it would be beneficial to the council who will receive more money in council tax instead of raising the already expensive council tax for people who live in the area ?? Either raise the new homes council tax or lower ours ???
T0039	The new estate should be brought into Thetford boundary
T0040	The new housing should be part of Thetford, to help it become a thriving town.
T0041	I am of the opinion that the new housing should bring the area of Thetford Town Council Boundary to include the new housing. As it is such a large development it will have a large impact on Thetford Town with increased people visiting the town and using its facilities car parking etc. and extra load on the infrastructure but not contributing any money towards the town.
T0042	I agree with the proposal
T0043	The boundaries must be changed to ensure these properties come within Thetford. It cannot be right for the occupants of the proposed new houses on Kingsfleet to pay a lesser rate than the rest of the towns residents. We already have the inequity of the residents of Hill house Lane, Heathlands Drive and Arlington Way paying a lowert rate while enjoying the benefits of living in the town!
T0044	Agree strongly. As any town grows, so does the infrastructure necessary to support it. Kingsfleet and neighbouring projects will all benefit from the same services as TTC current residents and should therefore be liable for the same council tax charges.
T0045	Agree strongly. Same services. Same charges.

T0046	I think yhe boundary should be changed and anyone on the development should pay "Thetford prices" after all they will be accessing the gp and dentist surgeries and schools etc. They are too close to Thetford to be considered rural. The infrastructure of the town is already failing its current residents so another 5000 homes to support is not tenable-particularly if they will not be paying towards its upkeep/improvement
T0047	Yes move the boundaries so all pay the same
T0048	The boundaries should be changed to incorporate the new houses.
T0049	I agree with the proposal. The residents all still use the facilities of Thetford so as a result they should have pay the same council tax as the rest of us.
T0050	The new development should be within the town council and pay the same as us on the Admirals estate.
T0051	Agree - everyone should be equal as they use the towns facilities
T0052	The Thetford boundary should be increased to include the new houses
T0053	I think the new Kingsfleet development should be within the boundary for Thetford and therefore residents be liable to the same council tax as those already living in Thetford. It seems unreasonable that the residents of Kingsfleet will enjoy the same facilities and council support for less contributions in council tax. Thetford council tax boundary needs to be reviewed and amended to reflect the expansion plans and henceforth head off this problem arising again
T0054	i disagree everyone should pay the same
T0055	The Boundary must be changed to bring the proposed new housing into Thetford
T0056	This development should be incorporated into the Thetford Boundary and therefore have the same rate ratio as the rest of the Town as they will be using the Town Services - should this not be the case then Thetford Residents should have their rates reduced to coincide with the new development
T0057	Disagree
T0058	Absolutely disgusted. Why was we as residents not consulted. I have lived in Thetford. I moved to Croxton 8 1/2 years ago because we wanted a village life close to Town amenities. I DO NOT WANT TO BE CLASSED AS THETFORD. WE NEED TO STAY AS CROXTON VILLAGE!
T0059	I moved in the village with my boyfriend and disagree that our lane should be classed as thetford. Neither ourselves or our neighbours had been consulted before the proposal was put in place.
T0060	I disagree as all the new properties will be using the same amenities as ALL other residents of the town and feel that we should ALL have a fairly equal average bill when it comes to council tax
T0061	I disagree that the new estate should be part of thetford as the way I see it is that it is clearly a way for the council to make more money without increasing the infrastructure which was demonstrated when cloverfields was built. There were promises of a school, shops etc which came to nothing so the residents used the already inadequate infrastructure. The town has grown more since then and still there is not enough doctors, schools etc to cope with demand so with this past history how can we feel confident that the extra money will be spent on increased facilities for the town? The plans for the new estate included shops, schools, doctors and even talk of a railway station so if this is the case why do the residents have to pay for something they effectively won't use. I suspect that as with the bus station, which would need to be enlarged if this went ahead, the residents would not be listened to anyway and the council has already made their decision. The only fair way to decide views on this would be a public vote run by an independent company but this will never happen as the council already know what the outcome would be.
T0062	I think it would be totally unfair for the new development houses to enjoy a lower rate than the rest of Thetford.
T0063	I think the boundaries should be moved to make the council tax payments the same as those living in the town ... after all this development will be putting a strain on resources already at breaking point so the money can be used to provide an extra gp surgery and ambulance and dentist and even schools because the town is at breaking point already under the strain so less money to incorporate more people is a silly idea
T0064	All the houses in the SUE Thetford will use all the facilities in Thetford and should therefore contribute the same amount in local taxation as those of us who currently use those facilities do. We were assured that the 5,000 extra houses would not become a separate town, and all efforts would be made to ensure that those in the new houses would be encouraged to use Thetford town centre. The logic of this is that they should be formally part of Thetford.
T0065	The boundary should be changed so that the new development is within Thetford Town Council!
T0066	Thetford's town council boundaries should be changed to include the new 5000 houses to the north of the town so as we all pay the same amount of council tax,also do we need it to be another village just on the outskirts of town,much better to have it as part of the existing town of Thetford, it would help the towns infer structure to progress and for it to be better with shops,school,roads and such like.
T0067	I agree with the proposals
T0068	I agree that the boundaries of Thetford should be amended, kingsfleet will be apart of Thetford so they should get the benefits and the tax the same as everyone else
T0069	Extend the boundary to allow everyone to pay fairly for the facilities of Thetford

T0070	Agree, the new development of houses should pay same rates as Thetford residents
T0071	Agree with proposal. We all use same facilities schools, shops, doctors etc. So should be equal.
T0072	I believe the boundary should be changed to incorporate the new Kings Fleet housing in Thetford
T0073	I believe they the boundaries should be extended to include the new housing. It will benefit Thetford in the long run and help to improve the town's facilities
T0074	I strongly disagree with the fact that the new Kingsfleet development will pay less council tax than those within the town's boundaries. Baring in mind that the population of this new development will be using the town's facilities, how can this be justifiable? If there are valid reasons for these unequal council tax bills, then they need to be voiced to all members of the town.
T0075	I agree with the Thetford Consultation proposal.
T0076	Everyone should pay the same amount of tax.
T0077	I agree with the Thetford Town Council proposal that we require a change to the boundary and have additional town councillors to support the residents of the new houses.
T0078	The "natural border" would and should be the All therefore all new buildings etc will be in Thetford and not Croxton. As for finding out about Arlington Way, then that should have been resolved well before anyone moved in. That is a complete joke !!!
T0079	The boundary of Thetford should be altered to incorporate the new development. For people living in the new development to enjoy the same benefits as those within the boundary as it is currently would be grossly unfair.
T0080	I disagree, think the new estate should pay the same rates as I do!
T0081	I do not agree with the proposal that the new homes being built on the kingsfleet development fall outside the thetford council tax boundary.
T0082	I agree with the proposal to change the boundaries I live just a few metres from the new estate and it would be totally unfair that my new neighbours pay less council tax than me.
T0083	Little feel the town council boundary should incorporate the new development and provide a fair and blanketed amenities package at a consistent price
T0084	The 5000 houses should be classed as in Thetford as they will pull on all the towns resources but not actually contribute to the council tax if the boundary is not extended. The houses have to be classed as in Thetford.
T0085	Thetford town council should extend the boundary to include the new housing development so the new houses contribute to the town they will draw resources from.
T0086	Disagree. All should pay the same council tax and boundaries should be altered.
T0087	Residents of the new development should pay Just as much as all the other thetford residents for using exactly the same facilities.
T0088	I agree with the proposal. If those homes get the same services as those paying the higher rate they too should pay the same rate.
T0089	I agree that the town boundary's should be moved to incorporate the new homes.
T0090	I have no quarms with a new housing development but is the town itself capable economically to cover the social cost schooling dentists medical facility's. Has this all been taken in to account.
T0091	The boundaries should be changed to include the new developments, everyone should pay the same.
T0092	Completely DISAGREE
T0093	I agree the boundaries should be changed it is an extension of our town , and the infrastructure should be not put under more pressure and the build should not go ahead with out adding dentists doctors and other necessities to serve our town
T0094	I disagree with this proposal. Due to ever increasing council tax and still things that affect my family not being fulfilled I do not agree that their council tax will be lower than ours but they will still use our emergency services, roads, shopping, etc
T0095	I agree with the proposed boundary changes for Thetford and increasing the number of Town councillors.
T0096	Disagree, there is no infrastructure in place for Thetford to support this type of build, if built charges should be the same for Thetford and district as this is exactly what it will be in. Ridiculous.
T0097	I agree the new development should fall inside the thetford boundaries. Are the plans in place for new roads and access yet
T0098	change boundary of Thetford to include new housing development in Croxton.
T0099	I think that the town boundary should be moved to include the Kingsfleet and any subsequent housing developments of more than 10 homes & where residents will be entitled to same services.
T0100	The new development at kings fleet should be within town council boundaries and residents should pay the same council tax as those within current boundaries
T0101	I find it completely unacceptable that this proposal could be signed off, as a home owner on the admirals estate which is very close to where this 'estate' will be placed this will add to traffic greatly as well as the most important fact that it will stretch our already stretched public services, if you are going to benefit from our town daily then pay the same amount as the rest of us!

T0102	<p>I strongly support the proposal to enlarge Thetford Parish. Moving the boundary to incorporate the new houses in the SUE is a no-brainer as far as I'm concerned. The new residents will clearly identify with Thetford and feel part of the town -- the development lies within the All bypass, and is being marketed as "Kingsfleet - A New Neighbourhood for Thetford". The new residents will also be regular users of the facilities and services in the town, which for many will be a stone's throw away. It is therefore only right that they are able to influence town policies by electing town councillors, and contribute to service provision by paying their parish precept to the Town Council. There is also the issue of fairness around council tax levels. It is clearly unfair for a house on one side of Joe Blunt's Lane to be paying at one rate and a house on the other side to be paying significantly less. The boundaries should reflect natural breaks in built-up areas, and the rest of Thetford Town should not be asked to subsidise the residents of the new houses. If the boundaries were to remain as they are today, it is also unclear whether the two village parish councils would actually be able to cope with their additional responsibilities, without significant upheaval, and the need to employ staff for the first time. When completed, the development would dominate the two parish council areas, changing them from a small rural parish to a largely urban one. The interests of the original villagers will be dominated by the majority of residents in the new houses. The urban/rural split is likely to create tensions, and lead to difficulties on the parish council. It would therefore make far more sense for the natural urban/rural split to be reflected in the parish boundaries. Finally, I would note that the boundaries have already been changed at least once to accommodate the expansion of Thetford, as the land now occupied by the Cloverfields estate was formerly in Kilverstone Parish. The arguments that justified that change surely apply again now. If one was drawing up boundaries based on the settlements as they are expected to be once the SUE is complete, there is no way the built-up areas of Thetford would be artificially split. I see no reason to maintain the historic (or not so historic, in the case of the boundary to the north of Cloverfields) parish boundaries for the sake of it, rather than logically following the new settlement boundaries. In fact, I would probably go further than the proposal, since it would leave very little of Kilverstone parish. I would give serious consideration to some combination of amalgamating Kilverstone with Thetford, or removing it as a parish completely, and splitting its current land between Thetford, Croxton and Brettenham parishes. As regards the issue of parish wards for an enlarged Thetford Town Council, I am less concerned about the details here. In the long run it would probably make more sense to have one or more new wards for the new housing estates when they are fully populated. But if it is easier to expand existing wards for the time being, then I would be happy with that. Later changes can always be carried out through the normal electoral ward review processes.</p>
T0103	I agree with the proposal. The inhabitants of the new development will use the facilities and services of Thetford, and their Council Tax should go to the Town.
T0104	I believe that kingsfleet should not be incorporated into the thetford boundary. The town needs more affordable homes and not to have to pay out so much so an estate that is looked after by any other council is better than being looked after than the thetford council. I have lost all faith in thetford council!!
T0105	Disagree with the development because there is not the infrastructure to support this ie doctors, police, hospital in BSE and retail outlets and parking in the town centre. Also this development is not within the town boundary so will be paying a lower rate of council tax for the same services.
T0106	Disagree- boundary should not be moved.
T0107	I agree that Thetford Town Council should extend its boundaries for the new housing developments.
T0108	I agree with the proposal to extend the Thetford boundary to include the new housing and any other development
T0109	I agree with the proposal to extend Thetford's boundary
T0110	I think that the Sustainable Urban Extension area should be included within the boundaries of Thetford Town Council as the people who will be living in these areas will be benefiting from the services provided by Thetford.
T0111	Considering the proximity and ever-growing size of Brettenham, Croxton and Kilverstone (including the proposed 5000 new houses and relevant infrastructure), I believe all three 'areas' should be included within the boundaries of 'Thetford'. This way, all public and or statutory funding, allowances, costs and charges can/would/should be calculated and spread more evenly and fair, for all properties and residents who enjoy all of Thetford's infrastructure and amenities etc. etc.
T0112	Disagree
T0113	I don't agree with this proposal, why should the residents pay less on the Kingsfleet Development (council tax) and receive the same benefits as those who live inside the Thetford territory? Will cause a lot of ongoing problems and issues. Thetford is struggling to thrive, why make it worse?
T0114	I understand Thetford need more houses as town is getting bigger and more people living here but find it a disgrace how you can charge little council tax etc to others living in thetford but yet they still receive same benefits etc as us. Think council need to reduce our taxes etc so ALL same or put theirs same as us
T0115	I think Thetford Town Council should move the boundaries to incorporate the new development.
T0116	I believe the boundaries must be changed to reflect the expansion of the town. It is highly unfair that they would be paying the lesser parish council tax but will still use all of the facilities of the town. They will still be classed as living in Thetford.
T0117	Don't build it

T0118	I believe the Kingsfleet development should be incorporated in to Thetfords boundary, in order to contribute an equal share to the upkeep of the town they live in.
T0119	Whether the boundary moves or not there is no need to increase the number of Town Councillors. A lot of money is paid out when most decisions are out of town hands and "dealt with" by Breckland or Norfolk. Any Councillor who attends less than 80% of council business should be sacked immediately. The 5000 homes are only being built to raise revenue foe the town. The infrastructure Thetford currently has has not grown with the town. The roads and medical services are a disgrace and the new proposals are only going to increase the problems. Whether the boundary moves or not there is no need to increase the number of Town Councillors.
T0120	Concerning the proposed changes of Thetford Boundary to take account of the proposed Sustainable Urban Extension (SUE). Croxton + Brettenham & Kilverstone are rural in nature. The Parish Councils do not have experience of urban developments. The SUE is clearly urban and as such Thetford Town Council have the experience and resources to manage it successfully and will be able to provide seamless, effective decisions concerning the existing urban district Thetford and the SUE. Any other decision defies common sense.
T0121	The boundary should be changed to incorporate the new housing development
T0122	These boundaries have needed to be changed for many years as Thetford provides all the community inforstuture with little or no input from the parishes
T0123	I think the boundary should be changed to incorporate the new development to make it fair to everyone.
T0124	Disagree with the proposal.
T0125	I disagree with the proposal
T0126	I support the boundary change so that the new development 'Kingsfleet' will be in Thetford.
T0127	The Sustainable Urban Extension Area should NOT include any area north of the Thetford bypass
T0128	Brettenham and Kilverstone Parish Council considered the proposed Community Governance Review of Thetford at its meeting held on 31 Jan 18 2018. In so doing, the Parish Council is conscious that this bid by Thetford Town Council to expand its boundary to include the whole of the Sustainable Urban Extension and increase its number of councillors' by 4 w, will have a considerable adverse impact on the 2 adjoining parish councils, Croxton and Brettenham and Kilverstone. Whilst the Parish Council is wholly supportive of the wider aim to bring employment, prosperity and an additional 5,000 new homes to the aream it has consistently maintained its position that the Governance of the Greater Thetford Area remains through its 3 parish councils (Thetford, Croxton, Brettenham and Kilverstone. Further, it argued dueing the development of the Thetford Area Action Plan (TAAP) that the housing and employment areas be named the Sustainable Urban Extension (SUE) without naming any one parish. It has also played a full part in the progress and development of the TAAP through its active membership of the Moving Thetford Forward Board and more recently the work of the Greater Thetford Development Partnership. Croxton Parish Council has been very conscious of the anomaly of the Thetford Settlement Boundary some of which lies in the Brettenham and Croxton parishes as defined in the current core strategy. More recently, it has voiced concern of the present boundary being so named in the new Local Plan and recently has raised the issue during the development of the new Local Plan but has been assured that the title of the settlement boundary does no reflect any aspiration, or need, to move the parish boundaries. The Parish Council has been very aware of its responsibilities to its residents and would, of course, seek to increase its number of councillors' as it population grows over the forthcoming years. Further, it sought and obtained approval from Breckland Council to develop a neighbourhood plan jointly with Brettenham & Kilverstone Parish Council. The agreed extent of the plan is the boundary of the 3 parishes (Brettenham, Kilverstone and Croxton). This Joint Neighbourhood Plan (JNP) seeks to integrate the new development and its residents with the existing largely rural community. Further the plan aims to do this without detriment to the rural nature of the 3 parishes and ensure everyone, from both the new and existing communities, benefits from the development of its facilities. This JNP has been submitted to Breckland Council under Regulation 16 of the Local Government Act. The Parish Council has for many years sucessfully adminstered Hill House Lane and Heathlands Drive, which currently lie whithin the SUE area, after a similar proposal by Thetford to absorb this area was sucessfully rejected in 1999. Many of these residents retain a strong connection with the Croxton Church and village facilities and their wish to continue to do so must be respected. The Parish Council is also conscious of previous attempts by Thetford Town Council to take control of other areas of the 3 parishes and is pleased that these failed as it firmly believes in the principles of 'Localism' that decisions be made locally for the benefit of its community and residents. In so doing the Parish Council is best able to support its growing local community. In conclusion, Croxton Parish Council unanimously opposes this proposal and strongly recommends Breckland Council rejects this wholly inappropriate take-over of the SUE by Thetford Town Council.
T0129	I feel these houses should be in the Thetford Boundary not Croxton. The number of people living in these houses would have an overwhelming influence on the small hamlet of Croxton whilst not living anywhere near the village. I also feel Thetford would miss out on the rates that should go to the Town to help provide essential services. I do hope this will be given due consideration.
T0130	I agree with the proposal to bring the Kings Fleet development into the boundary of Thetford.
T0131	I disagree with the proposals as Kilverstone is remembered for the Wildlife park and should stay that way, kilverstone is a small and tidy environment and is calm and is not busy at all
T0132	I believe the boundary should be extended.This has previously been done on the Kilverstone boundary.

T0133	I would wish for the Kilverstone boundary to remain as it is. I have grown up around and lived at Kilverstone all my life, and strongly agree with preserving the parish. The proposed housing will go ahead I'm sure but let Kilverstone look after the new residents.
T0134	The thetford town boundary should be changed to include croxton and the new kings fleet development.
T0135	The town boundary should be changed to include croxton and the kingsfleet development.
T0136	The new houses should be in the Theford boundary line.
T0137	To all concerned Please let it be known that I am against Thetford moving its boundary.
T0138	I oppose the expansion of Thetford
T0139	I disagree with the proposal to move the town boundary to absorb the 'SUE'. Living in the village of Kilverstone I feel the 'SUE' development would benefit from being under the Brettenham and Kilverstone parish council as the local councillors will have what's best of the area and local people at heart. If Thetford town council take over it will probably put up our council tax bands and the money may not get spent on Kilverstone. Why should we pay to fund the town council pocket?!? The local community will benefit more if it's under the Brettenham and Kilverstone parish council as they know what the community needs and wants, the money will be spent on things the make the area better for the people who live in the area.
T0140	I AGREE THAT THE TOWN BOUNDARY SHOULD BE CHANGED TO INCLUDE THE PARISHES OF CROXTON, BRETtenham AND KILVERSTONE, IN ORDER THAT THE COUNCIL RECEIVES THE MONIES DUE FROM THE RATES OF THE NEW DEVELOPMENT, AS THEY (THETFORD COUNCIL) WILL BE PROVIDING THE SERVICES AND FACILITIES WHICH WILL BENEFIT THESE NEW PROPERTIES.
T0141	I strongly disagree with the proposal
T0142	I do not agree with the Thetford boundary changes
T0143	I support the proposals for Thetford to have its boundaries enlarged to take in the new houses being built.
T0144	I support the proposals for Thetford to have its boundaries enlarged to take in the new houses being built.
T0145	I agree with the proposal.
T0146	As a resident in Kilverstone I would like to express my wishes to preserve this rural village ensuring that the council tax remains broadly in line with its present level of 18-20%. Kilverstone is such a beautiful village and therefore I feel very strongly that it remains as stands.
T0147	I WISH TO RAISE AN OBJECTION REGARDING THE THETFORD BOUNDARY PROPOSALS AND WISH TO SUPPORT CROXTON & BRETtenham AND KILVERSTONE PARISH COUNCILS. WHILST ACKNOWLEDGING THE NEED FOR NEW HOMES IN THE AREA, IT IS IMPERATIVE THAT RURAL PARISHES ARE NOT SWALLOWED BY SUCH SCHEMES. I BELIEVE THAT THE INTEREST OF THE RURAL PARISHES IN QUESTION WILL BE BEST SERVED BY THEIR PRESENT PARISH COUNCILS, SUPPORTED BY THE JNP, WHO WILL STRIVE TO ENSURE THAT NEW RESIDENTS INTEGRATE INTO A GROWING COMMUNITY THAT WILL BENEFIT FROM THE PROPOSED COMMUNIUTY FACILITIES THAT ARE TO BE BUILT WITHIN THE SUE. I BELIEVE THAT THE PARISH COUNCILS WILL ALSO STRIVE TO ENSURE RESIDENTS COUNCIL TAX WILL REMAIN BROADLY IN LINE WITH ITS PRESENT LEVEL. I WOULD BE GRATEFUL IF MY OBJECTION IS RECORDED.
T0148	I WISH TO RAISE AN OBJECTION REGARDING THE THETFORD BOUNDARY PROPOSALS AND WISH TO SUPPORT CROXTON & BRETtenham AND KILVERSTONE PARISH COUNCILS. WHILST ACKNOWLEDGING THE NEED FOR NEW HOMES IN THE AREA, IT IS IMPERATIVE THAT RURAL PARISHES ARE NOT SWALLOWED BY SUCH SCHEMES. I BELIEVE THAT THE INTEREST OF THE RURAL PARISHES IN QUESTION WILL BE BEST SERVED BY THEIR PRESENT PARISH COUNCILS, SUPPORTED BY THE JNP, WHO WILL STRIVE TO ENSURE THAT NEW RESIDENTS INTEGRATE INTO A GROWING COMMUNITY THAT WILL BENEFIT FROM THE PROPOSED COMMUNIUTY FACILITIES THAT ARE TO BE BUILT WITHIN THE SUE. I BELIEVE THAT THE PARISH COUNCILS WILL ALSO STRIVE TO ENSURE RESIDENTS COUNCIL TAX WILL REMAIN BROADLY IN LINE WITH ITS PRESENT LEVEL. I WOULD BE GRATEFUL IF MY OBJECTION IS RECORDED.
T0149	I am in complete agreement with the proposals
T0150	I agree with the proposal , it is fair to the rate payers of Thetford , who will be paying for the services that the people who move in will use.
T0151	Disagree
T0152	I agree to expand Thetford's boundary
T0153	I am totally and absolutely opposed to the proposal that Thetford should extend its boundary to include the new Sustainable Urban Extension area (SUE)
T0154	My husband and I reside at Hill Farm, The Street, Croxton and wish to express deep concern at Thetford Town Council's attempt to perniciously procure the SUE for its own gain, putting at risk the preservation of the conservation area of Croxton. We purchased our property in good faith in 2015 in full awareness of the protection that keeping the new development within the domain of Croxton Parish would afford. We have attended the meetings regarding the Joint Neighbourhood Plan and felt reassured, but now we feel threatened and alarmed at this governance review and believe it grossly underhand. Please register our concern in the strongest terms possible
T0155	I disagree with the proposal that Thetford Town Council absorb the SUE. Rather the Joint Neighbourhood Plan submitted by Croxton / Bretttenham & Kilverstone should be adopted.
T0156	The sue should be in thetford
T0157	Thetford expands to keep the sue in thetford

T0158	<p>Re: Boundary Changes Proposed for Thetford /Villages of Croxton, Brettenham & Kilverstone. We are in favour of changing the parish boundaries on this area so that it falls into Thetford. Thetford Town Council already has experience in managing a sizable urban area and it seems sensible to employ these resources and extend them if necessary. We believe most residents of Croxton are concerned about ensuring the rural nature of their parish. The new residents of the SUE will have very different demands of the council and require facilities much more in line with the residents of Thetford. It is therefore right that they should be contributing to them by paying the Thetford precept. This will, to our mind, maintain the integrity of the villages as villages; preventing them becoming part of the town of Thetford</p>
T0159	<p>I agree with the proposal to extend the Thetford boundary to include the new Sustainable Urban Extension area. As the proposed buildings are mainly to be sited adjacent to current properties that are within the Thetford boundary, and will be using the roads and amenities within Thetford rather than Croxton, Kilverstone, Brettenham etc. it is fair that the owners of the new houses, etc should contribute to the Thetford Council Tax and help sustain these necessary services so that these services can be maintained rather than reduced .</p>
T0160	<p>I disagree with the proposal to move the town boundary.</p>
T0161	<p>I disagree with the proposal to move the town boundary</p>
T0162	<p>I disagree with the proposal to move the town boundary</p>
T0163	<p>I disagree with the proposal to move the town boundary</p>
T0164	<p>I think this is being planned in good faith but I've seen no concrete plans how Thetford is going to cope by almost doubling in size.</p>
T0165	<p>We do not approve of any of the present Croxton parish being absorbed/ transferred into the Thetford parish. Croxton boundaries must stay as they are.</p>
T0166	<p>I object to the proposed boundary changes and wish to remain in Kilverstone parish</p>
T0167	<p>I object to the proposal and wish to remain in the parish of Kilverstone</p>
T0168	<p>I disagree with proposals</p>
T0169	<p>I agree with the proposal</p>
T0170	<p>I agree that their thetford area should be increased</p>
T0171	<p>Agree with the proposal that the Thetford Town boundary be increased to incorporate the additional areas, Croxton, Brettenham, Kilverstone etc.</p>
T0172	<p>Community Governance Review - Parish of Thetford, References: A Local Government and Public Involvement in Health Act 2007, B Breckland council Terms of Reference (Parish of Thetford. The proposed Community Governance Review - Parish of Thetford was reviewed at Croxton Parish Council meeting on 8th February 2018 together with Brettenham & Kilverstone Parish Council response letter dated 2 February 2018. The decision of this Council was that Brettenham & Kilverstone response letter mirrored the views of Croxton Parish Council and consequently is reproduced below with the relevant minor adjustments, as being those of Croxton Parish Council. Croxton Parish Council considered the proposed Community Governance Review of Thetford at its meeting held on 8 February 2018. In so doing, the Parish Council is conscious that this bid by Thetford Town Council to expand its boundary to include the whole of the Sustainable Urban Extension and increase its number of councillors' by 4 w, will have a considerable adverse impact on the 2 adjoining parish councils, Croxton and Brettenham and Kilverstone. Whilst the Parish Council is wholly supportive of the wider aim to bring employment, prosperity and an additional 5,000 new homes to the aream it has consistently maintained its position that the Governance of the Greater Thetford Area remains through its 3 parish councils (Thetford, Croxton, Brettenham and Kilverstone. Further, it argued dueing the development of the Thetford Area Action Plan (TAAP) that the housing and employment areas be named the Sustainable Urban Extension (SUE) without naming any one parish. It has also played a full part in the progress and development of the TAAP through its active membership of the Moving Thetford Forward Board and more recently the work of the Greater Thetford Development Partnership. Croxton Parish Council has been very conscious of the anomaly of the Thetford Settlement Boundary some of which lies in the Brettenham and Croxton parishes as defined in the current core strategy. More recently, it has voiced concern of the present boundary being so named in the new Local Plan and recently has raised the issue during the development of the new Local Plan but has been assured that the title of the settlement boundary does no reflect any aspiration, or need, to move the parish boundaries. The Parish Council has been very aware of its responsibilities to its residents and would, of course, seek to increase its number of councillors' as it population grows over the forthcoming years. Further, it sought and obtained approval from Breckland Council to develop a neighbourhood plan jointly with Brettenham & Kilverstone Parish Council. The agreed extent of the plan is the boundary of the 3 parishes (Brettenham, Kilverstone and Croxton). T</p>

T0172 Continued	This Joint Neighbourhood Plan (JNP) seeks to integrate the new development and its residents with the existing largely rural community. Further the plan aims to do this without detriment to the rural nature of the 3 parishes and ensure everyone, from both the new and existing communities, benefits from the development of its facilities. This JNP has been submitted to Breckland Council under Regulation 16 of the Local Government Act. The Parish Council has for many years successfully administered Hill House Lane and Heathlands Drive, which currently lie within the SUE area, after a similar proposal by Thetford to absorb this area was successfully rejected in 1999. Many of these residents retain a strong connection with the Croxton Church and village facilities and their wish to continue to do so must be respected. The Parish Council is also conscious of previous attempts by Thetford Town Council to take control of other areas of the 3 parishes and is pleased that these failed as it firmly believes in the principles of 'Localism' that decisions be made locally for the benefit of its community and residents. In so doing the Parish Council is best able to support its growing local community. In conclusion, Croxton Parish Council unanimously opposes this proposal and strongly recommends Breckland Council rejects this wholly inappropriate take-over of the SUE by Thetford Town Council.
T0173	I object to the proposal and concur that the rural nature of the parish (indeed all three parishes) is preserved. I would also like to point out that councils and councillors work for their constituents and it is highly questionable when the opinions of the constituents are ignored.
T0174	I strongly disagree with Thetford absorbing the area of the SUE into Thetford Parish and thereby changing the existing parish boundaries of Croxton, Brettenham and Kilverstone.
T0175	The proposed new homes will have street /road lighting, rubbish collection and access to schools, doctors & dental. But they will pay a fraction of the cost because of the boundary. Surely if they were included within the Thetford boundary it would generate much needed extra funds for the council ??? This needs serious consideration or you will have a lot of disgruntled locals on your hands.
T0176	I agree we should extend the boundary to include the new housing development. Considerations should be made to provide extra resources and infrastructure I.e. doctors, dentists, police, schools etc as these are already stretched.
T0177	I agree with moving the Thetford Boundary to include the SUE.
T0178	Yes I agree we should expand the boundary. Why should the villages get the same services, but pay less council tax.
T0179	I disagree with this proposal
T0180	I agree with the proposal to move the Parish boundary. It is unfair that new residents will be using Thetford's already stretched resources whilst paying a greatly reduced council tax. Extra funds provided by council tax collected from the new houses would help provide more services in Thetford.
T0181	I agree with the proposals. People using Thetford amenities should contribute to paying for them.
T0182	I just add that I think the boundary should be changed to incorporate the new Kingsfleet development which includes Croxton, Brettenham and Kilverstone etc
T0183	I just add that I think the boundary should be changed to incorporate the new Kingsfleet development which includes Croxton, Brettenham and Kilverstone etc.
T0184	Re Thetford Town Council request to absorb SUE area into its parish council which surely only driven by money ie council tax receipts. The last time in the early 2000s that Thetford tried unsuccessfully to take over parts of Croxton after a number of meetings between Norfolk, Breckland Thetford Council and the residents of Hill House Lane and Heathland Drive Croxton the matter was referred to the appropriate central government department who came back with the answer that Hill House Lane and Heathland Drive Croxton would never become part of Thetford and that answer would be set in stone. So surely Thetford can not now expect to take over parts of Croxton or is the decision of central government in 2000 to be disregarded

T0185	<p>As a resident of the conservation area of Croxton village, I have read the recent paper on the above circulated by Croxton PC and the Joint Neighbourhood Plan (JNP) submitted to Breckland DC, and I have also discussed the subject with neighbours. As you will know, the JNP concludes that retaining the existing parish boundaries "will ensure the rural nature of the 3 parishes is preserved, the new residents of the SUE are integrated into our growing community and that residents of all 3 parishes benefit from the new community facilities to be built in the SUE." Nothing I read in the JNP appeared to me to support the above conclusion. On the contrary, I concluded that the only sensible course of action would be for the SUE to be incorporated within the area managed by Thetford Town Council, as that body has requested. Their officers have the skills and experience necessary to manage an urban area, whereas the rural parishes have no such expertise. On the Croxton PC's own website it states: "The parish is largely rural farmland in nature having two main residential areas located at Croxton village and at Hill House Lane/Heathlands Drive in addition to scattered smaller farm/residential locations." and "The village is predominantly defined as a conservation area and has a number of listed buildings and structures". It is difficult to see how the new residents of the SUE could reasonably be integrated into such a parish, and indeed there is plenty of evidence that the conservation area has already suffered at the hands of those who live on the existing housing estates and have different aspirations. I can understand the reluctance of the residents of Hill House Lane/Heathlands Drive to be transferred to Thetford, but it is the building of the SUE estates which will change the nature of their environment, not a change in the name of the local authority. I assume that the A11 road will be retained as a dividing line between the SUE and the rest of Croxton. It has been suggested to me that the JNP is mainly intended to put pressure on Thetford TC in the hope of winning advantages for the parishes. I do hope that this is not the case and that Breckland DC will decide the matter wholly on its merits and not through some form of bidding game. That said, looking at the map of the parish boundaries does lead to the inescapable conclusion that the land between Croxton's western boundary and the A134 road (or even the railway) would more sensibly be incorporated into Croxton Parish. I would not expect Thetford Rugby Club to have to change its name! I shall be pleased to answer any questions you may have regarding the above</p>
T0186	<p>Dear sir/madam I would like to voice my view over the Parish Of Kilverstone ,that in my view it should be left to keep There own parish ,and Brettenham and .Croxton should be kepted the same to.would be such a shame if not</p>
T0187	<p>I strongly disagree with the proposal that Thetford takes over governance of the SUE</p>
T0188	<p>I disagree with the proposals and believe the 3 parishes of Kilverstone,Croxton and Brettenham remain as they are.</p>
T0189	<p>Please find attached an Open letter of my views of the Thetford Area Local Governance Review, as asked for, which I circulated in my village of Rushford, in the Parish of Brettenham, as it is greatly affected by the SUE development and the Governance issue. I would like you to take the comments contained within into consideration in determining this issue. Please contact me if you require further explanation of my views. An open letter to Electoral Services and Rushford residents. I recieved Brettenham & Kilverstone Parish Council's information about the Thetford Council Governance Review proposals. Here is my view as a Rushford resident in Brettenham Parish. I believe it makes perfect sense for the 5,000 new homes of the Susatainable Urban Extension (SUE) to come under Thetford Town Council, who are far more able to provide the services, help and support that such a large community will need. I have attached Thetford Town Council's flyer about the subject for information and balance. Although our Parish Council asks for everyone living in the Parish to voice thier views about the proposal, they have, in fact, already objected to the boundary change, without seeking our views themselves. How on earth can a small rural Parish Council believe it can service the needss of up to 24,000 new people, in a brand new town, and integrate them into our own little community, all for £21.60 pa per household? Surely they mean integrate us into them - do we really matter in the whole scheme of things? Sacrificed for the common good? I chose to move the countryside and live in a rural environment. The majority of our Parish Councillors chose to live on Arlington Way in an urban environment, similar to the new urban extension, and know very little about Rushford. I think it is logical that the Sustainable Urban Extension and Arlington Way should come under Thetford Town Council. Brettenham and the residue of Kilverstone can then return to being a simple rural Parish Council as they once were. £21.60 is more than enough for what we get. The £100 difference in Council Tax is reflected in the costs to provide services but is, after all, a disproportionate tax with those in expensive not paying as much as those ihn smaller houses as a proportion of the value of the house. Please make your views known.</p>
T0190	<p>I definitely agree with the proposal.</p>
T0191	<p>I agree with the proposal that the boundary for the new housing development should be moved to ensure they pay the same as residents of Thetford.</p>
T0192	<p>Kings fleet development should be in the boundary of Thetford and not Croxton</p>

T0193	With reference to the request by Thetford Town Council (TTC) to Breckland DC to review the governance arrangements of the Sustainable Urban Extension, I wish my views to be considered. Whilst my house is still surrounded by fields, I am strongly opposed to being absorbed into Thetford and governed by its Town Council. This is still a rural area and TTC are an urban orientated organisation. Being towns people, they would not be best suited in deciding what is best for those that live in rural areas. It would also mean a considerable increase in the Parish precept to pay for services that those of us living in rural areas cannot fully enjoy. It would mean the rural community unfairly subsidising the luxuries of Thetford. Also, in view of the coverage of the debacle last summer of a group of misogynists on TTC , arguing whether or not female Councillors should be allowed to remove their head-wear, I do not wish my money to be wasted on such spurious debate. They should have far more important things to decide on. And if they have not, then perhaps their funding should be removed and spent more appropriately elsewhere?
T0194	I agree with the proposal.
T0195	Agree
T0196	We would like croxton to remain a village
T0197	I am very strongly opposed to this further attempt by Thetford Town council to remove my property from Croxton parish and place it in Thetford. They tried this almost twenty years ago and were told in no uncertain terms that the residents of Croxton parish did not want that. Now they are trying it again for purely financial gain while I as a ratepayer will be worse off. If this is allowed to go ahead, it will be like Russia's annexation of Crimea but on a smaller scale. The notion that a large town council can just grab land from a neighbouring parish against the wishes of its parishioners is abhorrent and undemocratic.
T0198	As a resident of Hill House Lane Thetford, we and the other residents including those properties in Heathlands Drive come under Croxton Parish Council in respect of Council Tax. We wish to remain so in the future and not be absorbed into Thetford when the proposed houses are built in the future. Our boundary which presently places us under Croxton Parish Council is an historic boundary and we wish it to remain so in perpetuity.
T0199	As a resident of Hill House Lane I would like to put forward my views on the forthcoming proposal to alter the Parish Boundaries. Ideally we would like to remain as we are in Croxton, but see it as inevitable that we will become part of Thetford in due course. This will adversely affect us financially leading to higher Council Tax. So we propose that Hill House Lane and Heathlands Drive has special dispensation and our Council Tax rates remain at the same level as Croxton. Also if said change of address has to be altered on legal documents and Land Registry, then we should also receive compensation for this. We will be interested in your views on this.
T0200	We disagree with the proposed amendment and want Brettenham to remain as it is now
T0201	We object to this proposal and wish Croxton to keep their own Parish Council

T0202 / T0202/1	<p>Please find attached my views on the Governance Review, which I would like you to take into consideration on this matter. I strongly agree with Thetford Town Council proposals. Thank you for asking for my views, I live in Rushford, Brettenham parish, and I refer to the leaflet sent round by Brettenham and Kilverstone Parish Council attached. I do not understand why my Parish Council is objecting to this boundary change. Do Brettenham & Kilverstone Parish Council realise the practicalities of a Rural Parish Council trying to manage such a massive new development as this extension of Thetford will be? 5000 houses at £200,000 av would come to £1,000,000,000 plus all the bits that come with it and an increase in the population of up to 24,000 people. Our Rural Parish Council would become a Town Council by default. This new community will change the existing, which is already happening as Arlington Way alone is far bigger than the rest of the Parish. Will the Parish Council have a Council Office or Civic Centre, staff, employees, vans and other resources? - who will pay for these, 5,000x£21.60 = £108,000 pa income, not enough for one 'Chief Executive' I would have thought. Who will pay for the community facilities? I've asked my Parish Council about CIL money and they said there was none! Do I want any in Rushford? - answer, NO, i do not need any in such a small village. If kingsfleet (SUE) is to be my service centre it will be just as far away as Thetford - will it have a Bank, Post Office? Thetford are loosing theirs - I will still need my car to get there. The SUE us an extension of Thetford (as is Arlington Way) and will benefit from the services provided by Thetford and they should contribute their fair share of the costs of those services as both are close enough to walk to, otherwise a house on Admiral's estate will pay nearly 6x as much more precept as the new houses nearby by they share the same outlook. The SUE is no even in our Parish of Brettenham. I live in a remote village - no bus route, cyclye path or footpath to connect me to <u>anywhere</u> and there won't be any after Kingsfleet is built, it will be just as remote - thank God - but for the traffic! Each of the settlements in Brettenham Parish, Brettenham (village), shadwell, Rushford and the residents on the Shadwell Estate (always overlooked) are in the same boat, there is little if ay cohesion outside of the villages, which is why most live where they live - in the country. Shadwell Estate who have not been included in the Neighbourhood planning, provide for Rushford infinitley far more than the Parish Council. Shadwell have provideed us with permissive path around thier paddock, they cut the grass on the Green, trim the hedges and verges, have re-vamped Church Lane, manage and promote the wildlife we all enjoy and integrate far more than the Parish Council (Brettenham & Kilverstone(and Arlington Way) all for no costs to the Parish Council. So please leave the 'jobsworth', self-important, 'Old Boys Club' as it is, huffing and puffing and doing little else. The Neighbourhood Plan, referred to by the Parish Council in their leaflet, will work just as well without the onerous duty of managing and providing for something which is toally out of a sall rural Parish Council's league - just take the SUE out. There is no benefit to the existing communities in having the Sustainable Urban Extension within the control of Brettenham.</p>
T0202 / T0202/1 continued	<p>I strongly agree that Thetford Town Council move the boundary as they are far better placed and able to absorb the SUE than Brettenham and Kilverstone Parish Council who have no experience and would be completely out of thier depth. I am greatly concerned that the Parish Council has already objected to this proposal without seeking my views and are holding up progress on preparing for the new houses which are needed. I often wonder why we have a Parish Council -''closed shop'' consisting of seven old men, no female councillors or young people, with no engagement - as again this latest issue confirms.</p>
T0203	<p>I am advised by my Parish Council that Thetford Town Council have asked Breckland District council to review governance arrangements for the development of 5,000 homes known as SUE in the Parishes of Kilverstone and Croxton and to move the town boundary to include the SUE. i am writing to advise that I <u>totally disagree</u> with this proposal. These 2 villages are rural communities who wish to remain so and be represented by our parish councillors. If Thetford Town Council wish to be responsible for the development then the houses should be built within the current boundary, we have already surrendered too much of Kilverstone agricultural land to housing, the expansion is point to put too much traffic on narrow country roads, all trying to access the town centre to get to work on the industrial sites on the other side of town. if the development had been nearer to the Mundford Road people could have walked or cycled to work. Another reason for wishing to remain a Parish is the Council Tax precept. We are already being told to expect an increase of nearly 6% this year, and the increase would be more if we are incorporated into Thetford. I am a pensioner, i do not have the luxury of being able to vote myself an increase income.</p>
T0204	<p>I would prefer Brettenham to remain independent to preserve the rural nature and that Arlington way remain in Brettenham.</p>
T0205	<p>I agree with Thetford Town Council's proposal to extend it's boundary. This is a common sense proposal.</p>
T0206	<p>I agree with Thetford Town Council's proposal to extend it's boundary. This is a common sense proposal encompassing the new 5000 houses into Thetford</p>
T0207	<p>We agree the Joint Neighbourhood Plan of Croxton and Brettenham & Kilverstone to ensure the preservation of these parishes</p>
T0208	<p>We have lived in Hill House Croxton since we purchased the building plot in 1983 and we are strongly against being integrated into the Thetford boundary</p>

T0209	<p>This is the third time in the last 20 years this issue has arisen and my views are that my best interests are not to be handled by Thetford Town Council. I am in favour of being in the village environment that is Croxton which is why we chose to live here. I believe that the retention of old traditional Parish Boundaries are a fundamental part of the English way of life and culture and should be retained along with ancient ways etc The creation of a dual carriageway through the parish should have no bearing on its boundaries. The development of the 'SUE' will be better served as part of successful parish entities than the Thetford Town Council with its traditional baggage. In February 9th 2011 "leader of Breckland Council William Nunn, reassured Croxton residents that parish boundaries would not change" (Thetford and Brandon Times). That was an unlimited statement. There is also a significant increase in Council tax. There is no reason why the Parish council could not have trained, efficient staff to deal with parish matters as proposed in the Joint Neighbourhood Plan</p>
T0210	<p>Having received the details of the above mentioned SUE I am totally perplexed, how on earth can a Parish Council take such a massive new Development under their control? Plus have objected to the change of Parish boundaries without consultation with parishioners. It seems to me that a review of the Parish boundaries is long over due. It is vital that Thetford Town and the surrounding country are seen to be separated, I have lived in the village of Rushford for 43 years, I choose the location because it is a rural village, I have no services so to speak, no mains sewage, no street lights, no public transport, in fact nothing at all with the exception of once a fortnight refuse collection. I like it that way I pay my council tax and am happy that I choose to live here enjoying the beautiful countryside. The map that has been sent clearly shows the proposed SUE in on the edge of Thetford taking in a part of Kilverston and Croxton, it would seem from this map that the Brettenham boundary is far from the proposed boundary changes. Which would seem right as clearly Brettenham is country. I feel that a town is a town, Thetford is clearly a Town with all the benefit that living in a town has in my view Arlington way is in Thetford and the residents enjoy all the services we in Rushford do not have. The Brettenham & Kilverston Parish Council currently has 4 councillors that are residents of Arlington way, you can see why they are against the boundary changes yet the Brettenham & Kilverston Parish Council support and approve the Thetford expansion. One has to come to the conclusion it's a combination of more power and more money.</p>
T0211	<p>I strongly disagree and object to the proposal to 'allow' Thetford Town Council to take over even more parts of the Parish. I am sure and would have more confidence in the argument that once the development has taken place then the Parish can apply for more Councillors who will have a closer interest in the community than a hidden face at Thetford. There is also a risk of an increase to the council tax which is in essence a stealthy way to generate income - we do not need to be 'rounded up' by this review.</p>
T0212	<p>I strongly disagree with the proposal to build five thousand new homes without the inclusion of infrastructure in the new development, including a new surgery, dentist and school. The amenities in Thetford town are already stretched .</p>
T0213	<p>I strongly object to Thetford Town Council changing the current boundaries and we wish to remain in the Parish of Brettenham. I feel we will be penalised through council tax rises to subsidise council tax reductions for benefit claimants in Thetford.</p>
T0214	<p>I disagree with the proposals.</p>
T0215	<p>I agree with Thetford Town Council, that the boundary should be changed to include the new development area, and Arlington Way</p>
T0216	<p>The residents of this SUE will use all the facilities provided by Thetford Council so it makes sense to extend boundaries.</p>
T0217	<p>I object to the residents of the new development paying less council tax than the residents of Thetford whilst having access to the towns facilities.</p>
T0218	<p>I have received the Thetford Governance Review document which is out for consultation.</p>
T0218/1	<p>Whilst I appreciate that more services will be required for the future residents of the Thetford SUE, the proposal that the current rural villages of Croxton, Rushford, Brettenham, & Kilverstone residents are to pay a very substantial increase in their Council Tax for NO INCREASED SERVICES or BENEFIT in the short term is wholly unfair & unjustified. Most of the residents in the Kilverstone Parish are living on the Kilverstone Estate and are on very modest salaries related to their farming occupation & living in property with an agricultural restriction, or are recently retired. I cannot obviously vouch for the residents in Brettenham or Rushford, but many of these are also employed on the Shadwell Estate with similar conditions. There are no substantial properties other than Kilverstone Hall itself. There will be no benefits to the population of these villages, ie, no street lighting, no pavements, or drainage, (Kilverstone does not have mains water & operates its own natural sewage system). There are no buses, or school transport, & all the Drs surgeries are a car drive away, similarly there are no Community facilities other than the Kilverstone Church Social Club, & Beaters Hut at Shadwell provided by the Landlords. There are no public recreation areas, or Public Open Space, or Play Grounds other than those provided by the Landlords. All hedges, trees & verges ditches etc are also maintained by the various estate owners. There is no Social Housing provision other than the Kilverstone Almshouses which are run by the Kilverstone/ Brettenham Parish Council & the Kilverstone Estate. Thus there is absolutely no justification for such an exorbitant increase in our precept, & this proposal should be dropped forthwith. I look forward to a sensible resolution. Further to my previous e mail (please see below), I would like to add that there is already sufficient political representation in the town, & even with the increase of population due to the SUE, there would be adequate representation at Parish Council level, under the present arrangements of the Croxton Parish Council incorporating the first tranche of the SUE, & the Kilverstone/ Brettenham PC supporting the remainder in the future. There are already plans underway due to the studies undertaken by the Joint Neighbourhood Plan whereby extra facilities such as a Dr's surgery & Community Centre are proposed. Although there may have to be a modest increase in the precept. It would not be necessary to match the considerable sums already levied by the Thetford Town Council. Thus again, I strongly recommend that this proposal to absorb these rural villages/parishes into the Thetford Town Council is resisted.</p>

T0219	I think the boundary should be moved, if your going to build 5000 new homes in Thetford then they should pay the same rates as Thetfordians!!
T0220	Agree
T0221	The new houses must be in Thetford and pay the Thetford council tax as this is where the new residents will be using Thetford facilities which will need to be increased ready for the new residents. There are no services within the parishes of Croxton or Kilverston , shops, schools, doctors, dentists etc. The existing services in Thetford are starved of revenue now and need the additional revenue from the new development to be able to supply the services the new residents will need and expect if they are going to move into the area, otherwise the new development could become a big white elephant!
T0222	I disagree with Thetford Town Council's proposal. This appears to me to be nothing less than a 'land grab' in order to increase its income potential. Parish boundaries are historic and although the nature of the demographics of a parish will change over time, the boundaries should not be changed to increase the wealth of a neighbouring parish at the expense of the parish in question. As the needs of a parish change with growth, that parish should be able to decide what facilities are needed to support it, not a neighbouring parish.
T0223	I agree with the proposal to move the parish boundary. We need to move Thetford forward and need support to achieve the aims of the future. To not move it will not help our Town's future and it is really unfair that we who have live should pay extra for those who are not in our parish but using our services
T0224	Thetford town will providing all services to the people paying the Croxton parish tax, as is happening with Arlington Way estate, I therefore am in favour of the change
T0225	Thetford town will providing all services to the people paying the Croxton parish tax, as is happening with Arlington Way estate, I therefore am in favour of the change
T0226	I remind the council that the debate concerning croxton parish boundaries was decided a few years ago. It was made clear that the residents did not want any change and that remains the case. I formally register my opposition to any boundary change which might affect existing properties. I note that the council feel it to be unfair that residents of croxton parish pay less council tax. All I can reply to that is we have not asked for this development and that it will substantially and fundamentally affect the environment in which we live. This change can in no way be an improvement. Any suggestion that the infrastructure of the local area and Thetford more widely is capable of coping with the virtual doubling of numbers of homes and therefore huge increase in requirements relating to healthcare, schools, traffic, etc. is risible. Current healthcare services are under massive strain and are the poorest in my memory. Schools are already among the poorest performing by any measure and local traffic levels see queues through the town much of the time. Add to this that it is very difficult to park in Thetford at any time shows that this town will not be able to absorb such a vast expansion. A much slower, more gradual expansion would be more appropriate, but I suspect that minds are closed to pragmatism in this matter. Anyway, for what one local person thinks, there you have it.
T0227	Agree
T0228	The Thetford Sustainable Urban Extension should be part of the Parish of Thetford. Residents will "look towards" Thetford and be seen as part of the town. They will use the amenities of Thetford. Neither Croxton nor Kilverstone provide any comparable amenities. The A11 is now the "natural" northern boundary of Thetford.
T0229	We think it only right and fair that all people living within the Thetford area should pay the same since we all use the same amenities and services . There should be total equality .
T0230	I agree we should all pay the same, unless you are a pensioner, in which case you should pay nothing.
T0231	I agree with the proposal to include the new housing (Thetford SUE) should be included within the boundaries of Thetford Town Council
T0232	I believe the residents of these new houses should pay the same Council Tax as the residents of Thetford. They will use the town's services which are overstretched. Living on Castle Lane since 1996 I have seen how busy the lane has become with people using it as a short cut to get to the Bury Road. People living in these new houses will do the same placing a bigger tax burden on the town's residents and making what is already a dangerous road even more dangerous. If they use the facilities they should pay for them too. After all people along my road pay the highest Council Tax in the area. Nothing has been done about the use of this lane so why should we have to put up with people who are not residents using it too.
T0233	The boundary should be moved to allow the new housing to be within Thetford parish in order that the parish precept be credited to Thetford parish. Thus residents in the new housing would be contributing to the facilities they will enjoy.
T0234	I agree with the proposals to move the parish boundary to include the new housing developments.
T0235	I agree with the proposals to change the existing boundaries.
T0236	We both agree with the proposal
T0237	if the proposed homes are to be classed as Thetford i believe the same council tax charges should apply
T0238	I agree that the Boundary should be moved to include the 5000 new home into Thetford in order that they pay the same council tax as other homes in Thetford.
T0239	I agree with the proposal to change the boundary so that the new houses being built come under the umbrella of Thetford Town Council and pay the same community charge as the rest of us.

T0240	Thetford Town Council boundary should be extended to include SUE if these new households are to use the Thetford Town amenities. Thetford residents are already paying over the top for their lack of amenities & an additional 5000 homes, without their revenue contribution, would truly cripple an already impoverished town.
T0241	I wholeheartedly agree that, as the proposed housing development will impact directly upon the town of Thetford, it is only right and proper that the boundaries are extended to incorporate the area within Thetford Council Boundaries, and, the additional revenue should be enjoyed by the townspeople of Thetford. I would feel enraged if the revenues were enjoyed elsewhere.
T0242	i think things should stay the same what i am more concerned that the developers cancelled the doctor surgery plans doctors surgerys in the town now are at breaking point. as the town is full now urgent need
T0243	I agree with the proposal to extend the Thetford boundary to include the new Sustainable Urban Extension area (SUE).
T0244	I agree the boundary needs to be move so all residents pay equally, I find unfair to the rest of Thetford having to cover the costs of the precepts.
T0245	We know that Breckland Council were given Government permission to build another 5000. dwellings, due to the very long term shortage of affordable housing for the children of Thetford residents. We have also spoken to residents of Brettenham and Kilverstone who do not want these dwellings to be part of their parishes. Consequently it will be beneficial to all for the boundary to be moved, as requested by Thetford Town Council, bringing this Thetford Sustainable Urban Extension (SUE) into the Thetford Town Boundary. As stated this has worked well with the Cloverfields development. The new housing will thus contribute equably to Thetford facilities, and will not then be overwhelming Brettenham and Kilverstone parishes. We wholeheartedly support Thetford Town Council's proposal.
T0246	I agree the new houses should pay the same council tax as the residents of Thetford
T0247	Disagree strongly Disagree strongly with the proposals. Need to keep Brettenham and Kilverstone as well as Croxton separate from Thetford. No increase needed in tax as no services compared to the Town are offered. Keep the area rural.
T0248	I agree with the proposals to extend the parish boundary to include the SUE, so they pay the same amount as other Thetford residents.
T0249	I feel that these 5000 new developments will fall on the tax payer of Thetford town who's infrastructure and amenities will be sorely over used. I believe that all these new developments should pay the the town rate and not the cheaper Croxton rate. Our Town is already under strain for schools ,doctors etc. so please take this into consideration on your final outcome.
T0250	I believe that any new development should fall under the town rate band and not Croxton because all the infrastructure and amenities for these developments will be meet by the people of thetford town and not the cheaper Croxton village. Please think clearly on the burden you may inflict on the tax payer of Thetford.
T0251	I disagree with the proposals.
T0252	The current boundaries are clearly unsustainable with 5000 homes not contributing to the town's amenities but using what it has to offer. Therefore the proposed boundary changes are essential and I fully support this.
T0253	I fully support the move to include these new sites within the Thetford boundaries in the same way as the Cloverfield Development.
T0254	I agree with the proposal that the Kingsfleet development should be included in Thetford. I would also like to add that Arlington Way should also be included in Thetford.
T0255	I am attaching a listing of all the residential properties owned by the company within the parish of Brettenham, together with the business premises for which business rates are paid. The company pays the Council Tax for the majority of the residential properties, but, in the interests of transparency we have marked those properties where we do not. In total we pay Breckland Council in excess of £200,000 per annum by way of Council Tax and Business Rates. The company believes very strongly in the concept of corporate social responsibility which informs its very substantial support of the local community over many years. We are proud to be a major employer in the area. We recognise that rural parishes are different to those within towns; but these differences should be defined by geography. Accordingly where any development is undertaken which is contiguous to an existing Town, or indeed, even it is just closer to the Town than the heart of the rural Parish, we believe that, for the better integration into the community of that new development, it should absorbed into an expanded town. That being so, we fully endorse Thetford Town Council's application to move the town boundary to absorb the SUE.
T0256	Do not agree with the residents of Thetford having to subsidies new development. It should all be incorporated into Thetford with everyone paying equal rates. This is the only fair solution.

T0257	<p>Breckland Council - what on earth are you lot playing at.....You are proposing that the 5,000 new houses that are being built north of Thetford (SUE) will only pay £21.60 per house and those of us in Thetford (and whom have lived here 40 years plus) are paying £122.71. You are an absolute embarrassment for the ordinary people of this county. We have repeatedly requested trees to be planted at the end of our cul-de-sac over many years - we are the only cul-de-sac on our estate who have never had trees planted on the green - you have now - and with any warning to residents - placed a large quantity of concrete posts in the green to stop traffic crossing it to access Shelley Way - where on earth are a few trees to save our ailing country and who decided these concrete pillars where the only option ? and now - we have this absurd situation where we are expected to pay the above amount to subsidise yet more properties in Thetford - it would never surprise us if Croxton Road will soon become a dual carriageway to absorb the additional traffic which will undoubtedly arise from so much housing, not to mention new schooling - but I bet there are no provisions in place for new GP practices, Dentists, Opticians, etc., etc., etc. Additionally, we had our bus service removed from Woodlands and now - lo and behold - you are now suggesting there will be a bus service from this new estate - what about all the loyal residents of the Woodlands Estate who now have to walk to Croxton Road to catch buses and why oh why have the old bus stop signs NOT YET BEEN REMOVED, causing confusion for the elderly or those not aware of the removal of our bus system on this estate. Furious residents from Burns Close whom have had enough of being patronised by this Council !!! Your response would be gratefully accepted - but please - don't patronise us anymore.</p>
T0258	<p>I disagree with the proposals and fail to see why this is being discussed before even a brick is laid. I understand that planning has been approved for just 300 houses which is but a small fraction of the SUE, yet Thetford wishes to absorb the whole area under this proposal and it remains to be seen whether the proposed 5500 houses are ever built. Croxton and Brettenham & Kilverstone parishes have spent a considerable time and effort in producing a JNP which has been submitted to Breckland Council and which I believe covers adequately the provisions required. I cannot see any value in Thetford Council's proposal which is not already met by the existing parishes except that Thetford could charge residents their council tax which is a five-fold increase on current rates. As to Thetford requesting an increase of 4 councillors for mainly arable land is out of the question. From the map it would appear that the Croxton residents within Heathlands and Hill House Lane would be entirely cut off from the parish should the SUE be absorbed by Thetford: this is unacceptable. In conclusion I believe this is an ill-conceived and ill-timed proposal and should be rejected</p>
T0259	<p>I agree with the proposal to move the boundary to include properties in Croxton, Kilverstone and Brettenham. They have full use of local amenities and should pay their fair share.</p>
T0260	<p>I oppose this proposal.</p>
T0261	<p>I disagree with the proposal by Thetford Town Council to further erode the rural Parishes of Croxton and Brettenham & Kilverstone. I believe it is this sort of activity has led to the loss of facilities in adjacent rural areas and is intended to simply obtain more money at the expense of an opportunity to develop our local Parish. Thetford has already taken over some parts of Kilverstone, absorbing Cloverfield and tried, unsuccessfully, to take over Arlington Way in Brettenham Parish and Hill House Lane/Heathlands Drive in Croxton Parish. Both Croxton & Brettenham and Kilverstone Parish Councils have objected to this proposed boundary change as once the SUE starts to be developed, they will seek the extra councillors and believe that they would be better placed to look after the needs of the growing community. To this end, the 2 Parish Councils have developed a Joint Neighbourhood Plan (JNP) which has been submitted to Breckland. This plan will ensure the rural nature of the 3 parishes is preserved, the new residents of the SUE integrated into our growing community and that the whole community of all 3 parishes benefits from the new community facilities to be built in the SUE. Norfolk is largely a rural county - let's not forget that.</p>
T0262	<p>I think the new houses should be within the Thetford boundary. Thetford residents should not be expected to pay for facilities for new families. At present Thetford does not have the infrastructure to support these houses without more money being provided by the new residents.</p>
T0263	<p>I agree with the proposal as the SUE area would benefit directly from the Thetford amenities. The infrastructure would give immediate access to the borough of Thetford, its transport, cycle and pedestrian routes. This affords immediate access to schools, shops, medical facilities, etc</p>
T0264	<p>I believe that the parish boundary should definitely be moved so that the houses being built to the north of the town become part of Thetford. The new home owners would be using the amenities in Thetford and so it would be fair for them to pay charges here and not to Croxton or Kilverstone.</p>
T0265	<p>Agree to Thetford Town Council to extend its boundary</p>
T0266	<p>I agree that the boundary should be changed to bring these houses in the Thetford parish</p>
T0267	<p>I agree that the boundary of Thetford should be extended to include the Sustainable Urban Extension. This is consistent with the original growth status that was in respect of Thetford. Additionally I note that as a Thetford resident I pay an additional community charge (I believe this is in respect of street lighting). This street lighting benefits the businesses of Thetford and will benefit all residents in the urban extension. Hence it is appropriate that all should contribute to that cost. Indeed all the services of Thetford will be available to residents in an extension and it is appropriate that such residents should contribute on an equal basis.</p>
T0268	<p>I disagree with the new proposal that we should have to cover a new estates costs our bus service was stopped last year round our estate.</p>
T0269	<p>I disagree with the new proposal that we should have to cover a new estates costs our bus service was stopped last year round our estate.</p>

	<p>I am a long term resident of Croxton Parish Council and live in the Street, Croxton within the conservation area. I have read the various circulars issued by the Parish Council, consulted the Joint Neighbourhood Plan and attended an "open meeting" in the Parish Hall last week. On the grounds of logic and common sense I support the move of the existing parish boundary to move north to the All which is a natural boundary based on landscape; habitats, land use and on the patterns of community and buildings. The arrival of the new housing developments will, whether we like it or not, include Heath House Lane/Heathlands and whilst having sympathy for the people who live there, that battle has already passed. A parish boundary is more than a line on a map. A parish is a geographic area which acts as a focus of deeply rooted feelings which have grown up over centuries that comes from living in, walking its paths and working its fields. When, after many centuries, Thetford began to expand in the 20C and eventually the Allwas re-routed, it effectively annexed the "town" of Thetford from the villages to the north and any sense of being part of its rural surroundings as part of Breckland was mostly lost. It has taken and is still taking a lot of work and public funding (e.g. projects and activities such as Breaking Ground) to try and repair that sense of belonging and to reconnect town and landscape. But they are two different things parish and town...I believe the rerouted All should have changed the boundary at the time it was built; the road inevitably forming a boundary in itself. Now the inevitable "filling in" is taking place around the Red House it seems an obvious time to correct that oversight. It is not a question of Thetford "taking over" parishes. It is saying "this is the town of Thetford" which, with demand for housing is expanding". The natural boundary now in the 21C is the All. And, the expertise and knowledge of needs, services and infrastructure that density of populations and transport demand, lies with Thetford and Breckland Councils, not the parish councillors of Croxton. I do hope common sense prevails, that the "conservation areas" remain to guard what past generations have dug, built, lived and loved and that the nature of place, both in terms of the expanding town and facilities of Thetford and of the rural Croxton Parish. are respected, so that we can both benefit from one another. With every best wish in your difficult conversations and decisions.</p>
T0270	
T0271	I agree with the proposal to extend the Thetford Parish Boundary but ask that the SUE road names are relevant to Croxton, Kilverstone and the people that have made both the Town and County famous.
T0272	Excellent given the planning for the SUE as it should be seen as unifying for the community- we'll done!
T0273	I agree the boundaries should be move to bring the development into Thetford as it will be connected to directly to houses already built in Thetford.
T0274	I agree that the boundary should be moved to be included within Thetford.
T0275	I agree that the homes within the Thetford Sustainable Urban Extension should within Thetford and NOT Brettenham & Kilverstone, as I believe that the residents will regularly be using the amenities provided by Thetford Town Council and should therefore pay for them
T0276	I agree with the proposal to move the parish boundary to include the SUE within the parish of Thetford
T0277	we agree that the new housing estates to be part of thetford
T0278	I agree with the proposal to extend the parish boundary of Thetford. To give the town some additional funding to maintain/improve facilities for all local inhabitants. And to help fund improved cross-town communication e.g. cycle routes and bus services
T0279	I disagree with the proposals
T0280	I disagree with the proposals
T0281	I strongly disagree with the proposals.
T0282	I agree with the proposal to extend the boundary of Thetford to include the Sustainable Urban Extension area
T0283	I agree that the boundaries of Thetford Town Council should be extended to the by-pass to include all the new development. My reason for this is that the proposals for new development are clearly urban in nature and logically should be part of the town. The boundaries have been extended in the past when urban development has been in close proximity to the town, i.e. Cloverfields. From the point of view of Croxton the development area does not adjoin the village and eventually the new residents would outnumber the village residents and damage the village atmosphere. The new development will look to Thetford for shops, cafes, medical services and entertainment and sports facilities.
T0284	I definitely agree that any properties built in this area should be built within the boundary of Thetford, and not in the surrounding villages
T0285	I strongly disagree with the proposals. The two Parish Councils' Joint Neighbourhood Plan demonstrates that they are more than capable of handling the expanding community. We want to preserve our rural communities and not be ultimately overpowered by Thetford Town Council.
T0285/1	I am writing to youy regarding the above review being carried out by Breckland District Council into the governance arrangements of the 5000 new homes development. I am totally against the idea of Thetford Town Council moving the town boundary to absorb the Sustainable Urban Extension. this development for the most part is within the parishes of Croxton and Kilverstone with Brettenham, which are rural communities and should therefore come under their joint control. The Joint Neighbourhood Plan put forward demonstrates this and i have been assured the the Parish Councils certainly have the nescessary experinence and knowledge to administer this. Furthermore, the JNP will ensure that the essential rual naure and feel is maintianed.I am extremely annoyed at the articles published in the February and March issues of About Thetford. These suggest to the residents that it is unfair that rate payers within the Thetford Town Council boundary would be paying more that those in the SUE unless the boundary is redefined. it is totally out of order to use this Forum as an poortunity to influence and manipluate residents. I can only assume that the source of these articles came from within Thetford Town Council.

T0286	I disagree with the proposal. In my view the boundary should not be moved and the new development should be administered by the Joint Parish Councils. I think it is important that the rural nature of the area is maintained.
T0286/1	I strongly disagree with the Thetford Town Council's proposal to move the town boundar to absorb the Sustainable Urban Extension. The development should retain it's urban feel and be administered within the structure of the existing parish councils. This is demonstrated by the Joint Neighbourhood Plan submitted to Breckland District Council. We purposely chose this area 20 years ago as it provided a rural environment. The way this is being presented, especially in the February and March issues of About Thetford is unfarily influencing the residents for the wrong reasons.
T0287	Our view is that the Rural nature of the 3 Parishes of Croxton, Kilverstone and Brettenham be preserved and that the new residents of the Sustainable Urban Extension area (SUE) be integrated into that rural community, and that the whole community of all 3 parishes benefits from the new community facilities to be built in the SUE.
T0288	I disagree in the fact there will be a huge gap in what the SUE community will pay compared to people living in Thetford, maybe they should pay at least 75% of the monthly amount we pay, leaving them an amount to help with transport to get into Thetford to use the amenities.
T0289	I am totally in support of Thetford's town boundary moving to include the new Sustainable Urban Extension. I would also like a review of Arlington Way with the outcome that it also comes within the Thetford Boundary. I live in Arlington Way and consider that I live in Thetford, not Brettenham. I use the town and its facilities and would like to see them enhanced. I don't identify with Brettenham at all. I even have to get a postal vote as I do not drive and cannot get to the polling station. I can walk or cycle into Thetford. It makes no sense that we are within the Brettenham parish. I think it is only sensible that the new development comes under Thetford as it is the main centre and it is logical people will identify with it. I do hope that Thetford then has the finances to acquire the personnel and skills to manage such a large town.
T0290	I disagree with the proposal - houses using Thetfords amenities and refuse collection services should have there taxes paid towards Thetfords budget not to the village that they are being assigned
T0291	I believe the boundary should be extended to include the new SUE ensuring that the residents will contribute to the town facilities that they will benefit from.
T0292	I think it is absolutely vital that the new development north of Thetford be brought within the boundaries of the Town. Precedent was set when the Cloverfield development was brought into the Town. It is vital to the well being of the town that residents of the new development pay their fair share towards the town's services. People will use the facilities and services that the town has to offer and expansion needs to be funded properly so that existing services can be extended to cope with an expanding population without putting further pressure on already stretched provision. I strongly support expanding the town's boundaries to bring the new development within those boundaries.
T0293	It seems reasonable to assume that the new development with have greatest impact on Thetford, its services and amenities. It would therefore make sense to approve the proposal from Thetford Town Council in order that new residents will contribute to the precept of the community they are most likely to draw on and also have elected representation within it.
T0294	I strongly disagree with the proposal to move the town boundary. The new development near Tesco must surely provide services for the development as Thetford Town will not be able to cope with the additional community. It is very difficult to get appointments at Doctors at the moment, so in effect in Arlington Way we would be paying more money for diluted services. In my opinion I feel there are too many Councillors in Thetford Town to date. Having some experience of local authorities in other counties I do question the number of Councillors in relation to the population. If this does go ahead, to have an extra 4 Councillors seems to me excessive and will they have the interests of Brettenham at heart.
T0295	I disagree with the proposals, I wish to remain in the parish of Brettenham and remain indepentant of Thetford
T0296	I agree with the proposals, they receive the same services as we do, & should pay the same amount. Most of the houses that will come under Thetford & quite expensive, so will help greatly with Thetford xxxxx.
T0297	1) I agree with the proposal of extension of Thetford Parish Council to include the Sustainable Urban Extension. The inhabitants of the SUE will be using the Facilities already in existence in Thetford:- a)Doctors, Dentists, Pharmacies. b)schools and nurseries, c) Banks, shops, supermarkets, building societies, d)parking facilities, e)cinema, f)Library, g)Churches, h) Employment opportunities and Job Centre, i) Cemetary, 2) Because of the above deatiled at 1) it is surely only right that there should be input via Council Tax to the Thetford Parish Precept. Anything else would be grosely unfair NB I understand property in Arlington Way is xxxx not within Thetford Parish Council.

	<p>We believe that the new SUE should be incorporated within Thetford and NOT Brettenham and Kilverstone for the following reasons: 1. Thetford is not a rich town and needs development. As a governor of Thetford Academy and Director of Education for the East Midlands Academy Trust (which has several Thetford Primary Schools) we are in a position to understand the needs of young families in the town and our personal view is that they need all the support they can get. This will be surely be enhanced by including the new SUE within Thetford thereby increasing monies available for local democratic control of local services. 2. Families in the SUE will use the same facilities and would gain sense of ownership by contributing to them on the same basis as other families in the town.3. We are sure residents of villages outside Thetford do not wish to feel that they pay for facilities they do not benefit from. We are largely a rural community of retired people who chose a rural lifestyle and knew that there was no bus service, street lighting etc etc. We do not wish to feel we are included in a part of Thetford as far as provision of services, and the concerns of the two areas are very different. We also feel that the rural quiet nature of our villages may be compromised by this proposal. There are very few families with young children in our villages. 4. We assume that our Parish Council at present has little experience of serving a community such as the new SUE will be. There is no synergy between the two areas proposed for an amalgamation which would if anything, drive a wedge between them and exaggerate the differences. It is important that Thetford moves on positively and that more diversity of income is brought into the town. It appears very much a poor community with low life expectancies. Until recently educational outcomes have been poor, and still need improvement. The new SUE must be seen as an opportunity to move the town on to its next stage of development by binding the new homes into the existing town as one community. We therefore believe that the SUE should be absorbed into the Town Council and strongly urge that this happens.</p>
T0298	
T0299	I most definitely agree with the proposal
	<p>I wholly disagree with the proposal that Thetford Town Councils boundary is changed to incorporate the Sustainable Urban Extension. The SUE lies within the Croxton and Brettenham & Kilverstone Parish Council boundary and the 2 PCs have been making preparations to welcome the new development and integrate the new residents into its growing community. Further, The 2 PCs have developed a Joint Neighbourhood Plan which seeks not only to bring together all the residents but also maintain the rural nature of the 2 PC's wider area and enable every resident to benefit from the new facilities which will be delivered by use of the agreed S106 monies. This bid by then Town Council to take over the SUE has no accompanying rationale and works against the Government's Localism agenda , which I support. I strongly recommend the proposal is rejected by Breckland District Council.</p>
T0300	
T0301	disagree
T0302	Disagree!
T0303	I agree with the proposal to move the boundary to include the new development.
T0304	I agree with the proposal to extend the Thetford Town boundary
	<p>I strongly believe the boundary should be moved for the benefit of Thetford. Not doing so will be a disaster for the town with its already inadequate and underfunded infrastructure.</p>
T0305	
	<p>I agree that the boundaries should be extended. Also where further development is to take place on the periphery of the town these areas should then be included as well. If an area is subsumed into the town then all properties should pay the same amounts as the rest of the area.</p>
T0306	
	<p>The new housing development that is on the Thetford boundary . We need to make Thetford boundaries bigger all the way to the bypass, as these people in the new houses will get to use all Thetfords amenities without contributing to them via the council tax.</p>
T0307	
T0308	I support moving the Thetford town boundary to include the new developments.
	<p>I agree that the boundary of Thetford should be increased to incorporate this new development as the residents of said development will be using the towns infrastructure such as doctors,dentists etc,police services and public transport.</p>
T0309	
	<p>I would definitely agree that the new housing development should come within thetford boundaries and not kilverstones. Living on Cloverfields I use the towns services to the full as will do the new development. The drain on the town will be massive, they will need the extra income. Would be very wrong not to put this estate within thetford boundaries.</p>
T0310	
	<p>I strongly support the proposal from Thetford Town Council to extend its boundary to include the new Sustainable Urban Extension area (SUE). I believe the residents of this area will use services and facilities provided by TTC and should contribute equally with the residents of Thetford and therefore should pay the same rate of council tax. With the additional strain on these services caused by the new SUE, I also believe this additional tax will ensure that these services provided by TTC can continue at a suitable standard which is of course in the best interest of local residents. Equality and sustainability of the local authority are significant factors that should be considered in this development.</p>
T0311	
T0312	The new homes should come under Thetford so extra money can be used for Thetford amenities.
	<p>I am pro moving the boundary line for the newly proposed houses. It is unacceptable to expect Thetford Residents to pay such a substantial amount of money for services used by others who pay far less. I vote in favour to move the boundary line to include the new properties into the Thetford Town and such make them pay for the services they will be using.</p>
T0313	
T0314	In my opinion changes should be made and the entire new development should fall within the Thetford boundary

T0315	I 100% support this proposal to adjust the boundary to include the new home developments. The people in these 5000 homes are not going to be travelling into what would be their local parishes for facilities but in fact will be coming into the town of Thetford to use Thetford Town facilities. As such, these people should contribute towards using these Thetford facilities. It is only fair that consistent users of the town facilities should contribute. It is also unfair to expect existing town residents to bear the cost burden for 5,000 new homes to use the existing town facilities. The only fair way I can think of spreading the cost burden is through moving the town boundaries to include these new homes in the town tax burden. After all, we cannot really put toll gates on all of the roads entering into the town to ensure non-town residents pay their fair share towards the town facilities they they will definitely be using.
T0316	I agree with the proposal to extend the boundary of thetford
T0317	Agree to move
T0318	I agree for the Thetford boundary to be moved so that it includes the new homes that will be built in the near future. It is in the interest of the community that Thetford Council can afford to continue to provide services in Thetford and that the impact the additional 5000 households has on this is reduced as much as reasonably possible.
T0319	Agree with proposal.
T0320	I support the proposal to bring the SUE into Thetford
T0321	I agree to the proposal that the boundary should be moved. Why should Thetfordians have to pay more to enable others to use facilities for free.
T0322	I agree with the proposal , living where i do these new homes are going to be literally 5 minute walk away yet are at the moment going to come under croxton which is a 5 /10 minute drive away , how can that be right
T0323	Agree, I believe the Town will need the extra money to provide resources for the expansion.
T0324	I agree with the proposal of Thetford Town Council to extend its boundary to include the new Sustainable Urban Extension area (SUE) and to to increase its size from 16 to 20 councillors, to reflect the increased area.
T0325	I agree with the proposal of Thetford Town Council to extend its boundary to include the new Sustainable Urban Extension area (SUE) and to increase its size from 16 to 20 councillors, to reflect the increased area.
T0326	The boundaries should be moved so council tax is paid to help the upkeep and services of Thetford as the new occupants will be using these amenities.
T0327	I disagree with the proposal. Why should we pay council tax for services that are not within our boundary. It is bad enough the council doesn't listen when we say we didn't want another gym but new restaurants and places for the children to go in town and now you want us to pay extra council tax that most people cannot afford for someone else to pay less. It's not fair on families already living hand to mouth.
T0328	The Thetford boundary should be moved to include the 5000 new houses that will effectively be in Thetford, and not in the Parish of Croxton or Kilverstone, and include existing buildings in the new boudary
T0329	I agree with the proposal as those living in the new area will prodominately be using Thetford services but not contributing to Thetford
T0330	I totally agree with the proposal and very much in favour of the increase in the boundary.
T0331	Move the boundary to enclued the new houses to thetford council.
T0332	Agree the boundary needs to move so they are included in Thetford as they will be using our services and amenities.
T0333	I agree with proposal to move boundaries
T0334	Leave the boundaries as they are ,Take the ladder down from castle hill,And finally remove the sheep & the fences from the common, And then start getting some businesses in the town not just charity shops
T0335	I agree that the boundary should be changed to include all the new houses within Thetford.
T0336	Change the boundaries for new builds to come under Thetford
T0337	I think the boundaries should definitely be changed the reasons are obvious as I do not want to be paying for other using our services without payment and people like myself and i on pension having to pay more
T0338	I don't think the boundaries should be moved. The new development should stay outside of Thetford Town Council
T0339	I agree that the boundary should be increased
T0340	I am a resident of Thetford and wish the new development to be included in our parish boundary. Therefore monies can be used for the town to continue and improve facilities available for us all.
T0341	I agree with the proposal to move the boundary, the extra homes/people will increase the use of the town substantially so this should be reflected by where the council tax goes.
T0342	In my opinion an amendment should be made so that these houses are built within the boundary of Thetford or the boundary should be moved to encase the area they are being built on to bring them in line with our boundary. I hope I have made myself clear on this point.
T0343	Disagree
T0344	I agree the boundary should incorporate Thetford.
T0345	The proposal should go ahead and the boundaries changed to include these areas. Council Tax in the new settlement should 100% be paid to Thetford Town Council. We need the money to provide this town with what it needs and to stop the people who want to grow up and carry on bringing their families to Thetford to be able to do so and not be driven to relocate.

T0346	I agree with the proposal The residents of this area will primarily use all facilities in Thetford. Therefore should be included within the boundaries, and contributions should be made to this local authority.
T0347	Move the boundary x
T0348	I would like to provide my opinion on the current proposal that the building of 5000 new homes in Thetford will fall under the boundary of Kilverstone & Brettenham and Croxton. The residents of these new homes, once built, will benefit from the services provided by Thetford Town Council but their Council Tax will not contribute to Thetford Town Council. This is not acceptable. I would therefore like to request that the boundary is changed so that these new homes fall under the boundary for Thetford Town Council and that the boundary for Thetford Town Council be extended to encompass these new homes.
T0349	I disagree with the proposal and want the boundary to stay as now
T0350	I disagree , the boundary should stay where it is at present.
T0351	I agree that the Thetford boundary should be extended
T0352	I feel that the new development should fall into the boundary of Thetford it will use the full facilities etc within the town and the town would benefit from the rates raised. The three villages that development would otherwise fall in do not have any infrastructure to accommodate such development. The money that this development would attract if the borders was changed to ensure it fell within Thetford would benefit the town and would support the infrastructure needs that would not be addressed if the boundary is not changed. The parishes would lose their village character with such a large development.
T0353	Agree.
T0354	I ask that the boundary is moved, I support the proposals by Thetford Town Council - we need to ensure we have the money to provide the services we know our town needs and give ourselves the money we need to improve them moving forward
T0355	I propose that the boundary of Thetford is moved to include the new development. The people living in the new development will use all of Thetfords services and funds need to be available to pay for them.
T0356	I think they should be paying the same council tax as everyone one else. They are going to be using our facilities in town, so why shouldn't they pay the same as everyone else. You will be getting people moving out there just so they get cheaper council tax but using our facilities.
T0357	I agree that the boundaries should be moved.
T0358	I agree with the proposal to extend the boundary of Thetford
T0359	I support the proposal for the boundary to be moved.
T0360	As a council you are useless things go unfixed services 2nd rate and money spent in the wrong way. Ideally sack Terry jermy
T0361	I agree with the proposal to extend the boundary that Thetford Town Council covers.
T0362	Disagree Thetford boundaries need to be changed to accommodate more residents into the Thetford area as they will use services of Thetford and not the parish council
T0363	I think it only fair the money is paid to the borough of thestford and not Croxton etc as these ppl will be using the facilities in thetford ... I find it disgustinv this is even something to be voted on instead just doing the right thing !!
T0364	Thetford would be used as the service center for the residents of the new estate not Croxton village. The boundary should be drawn to reflect the needs of the residents to the town of Thetford.
T0365	I think the boundaries should be moved to incorporate the new housing in the north of the town. This way the town will benefit from the additional council tax earned to supply much needed amenities.
T0366	I support the proposal to change the boundary of Thetford to include the proposed new housing development. It is being referred to as the THETFORD SustainableUrban Extension, so should therefore, be within Thetfird's boundary.
T0367	I agree the boundary should be moved
T0368	Agree, I believe the boundary should change to include the new homes
T0369	I agree with the proposal
T0370	We can't cover repairs in this town as it is so with all the extra houses we stand no hope so I believe they need to move the boundary so they have to help pay like the rest of local people
T0371	The boundary lines simply must be amended so that the 5000 new houses fall within Thetford
T0372	I agree with the proposal. Not only does it make sense for financial reasons but it would be beneficial to the people moving into he area. The town is in need of new blood and a sense of community spirit and it would be far more inclusionary to let these people have their say on matters that will effect them rather than smaller parish motions that will see very little effect on their day to day.
T0373	I agree with the proposal

T0374	I disagree with the proposal as I feel that the infrastructure of Thetford requires massive improvement before any new developments are put in place. Roads are in a dreadful state and often congested which will worsen if more people are using the roads. There are not enough NHS medical services e.g, doctors surgeries, dentists to support Thetford's already growing population and schools are already under strain with the amounts of pupils. These issues would need to be rectified or be in the process of redevelopment before any more houses are built on a large scale. Lastly, there is a great lack of amenities/facilities within Thetford that needs attention if a new housing development is to be built, otherwise they will use their disposable income elsewhere e.g. Norwich, Bury St Edmunds which will not improve the town's already dwindling economic status. Many thanks for taking the time to read this response.
T0375	I agree with the proposal to extend the parish boundary to include the proposed development area and for the number of Town Councillors to increase as a result
T0376	I agree with the proposal
T0377	I agree with the boundary being moved. I live on the Cloverfields estate- the Thetford/ Kilverstone boundary was changed for that development. I use the town's amenities & believe it is justified I pay the same rates as the rest of the town. The new houses will use the amenities too- they should therefore pay fairly into the town too.
T0378	I agree that the boundary should be moved to include the parishes within Thetford
T0379	I totally support the proposal to move the boundary.
T0380	I agree with the proposal to extend Thetford's boundary. I believe this proposal will better serve the Thetford community.
T0381	These new houses should be within the Thetford boundary as they are going to benefit from all the town's amenities.
T0382	I agree that Thetford boundaries should be extended to include the proposed new developments
T0383	Boundary of Thetford should be extended to include new development of Kingsmead as these residents will be using Thetford facilities and amenities.
T0384	I believe that the boundaries should be moved to the A11 dual carriage way and the new development become part of Thetford town council boundaries the revenue to Thetford town council will enable a continuation of provision of services to the new residents without imposing unfair charges on existing residents.
T0385	Please pass the proposal to move the Thetford boundary to the all
T0386	I propose to move the boundary line to cover the new proposed houses
T0387	Agree with moving the boundaries 100%
T0388	I agree with the proposal to extend the boundary and to increase the number of Thetford Town Councillors.
T0389	I agree with the proposals
T0390	Disagree
T0391	I personally think Thetford should increase its borders
T0392	Agree with the change of parish border proposal.
T0393	The boundary should be moved to allow the new estate to be incorporated into the Thetford boundary
T0394	We agree .
T0395	I agree with the proposal.
T0396	Agree
T0397	Agree to increase the boundary when the new houses are built near Croxton in Thetford.
T0398	I agree that the Thetford town boundaries should be changed to encompass the new development of 5000 homes proposed.
T0399	I agree that the boundaries should be extended to include the new houses being built as the residents of said development will be using the towns amenities and the extra revenue will benefit the town to help cover the cost of the increased population to Thetford.
T0400	The boundary should most definitely be extended so the new development falls under Thetford or better still don't build 5000 house around a town that is not equipped to support them, the roads, schools, doctors, and dentists will be manic
T0401	I agree that the boundary of Thetford should be moved to accommodate the 5,000 new homes planned to the north of the town. They will want and need to use services in Thetford and so should contribute towards the cost of their new division.
T0402	I'm open new properties if amenities, and local doctors and schools can support in 2004 when our house was built we could not get into the doctors and had to stay in bury st edmunds and botesdale. I suffer with asthma and to get put on a nebuliser I had to travel 12mikes, but 26mins to use. Improvement is required if new houses are to be build
T0403	I agree with the proposal to extend the boundaries. The addition of this number of properties to a town where amenities, doctors, schools etc, are already stretched, requires everyone to be contributing evenly across the board. The revenue created would be helpful to Thetford, for this reason I back the proposal to extend the boundary.
T0404	should move the boundary
T0405	I wish for the boundary to be changed to include the new development within Thetford. I am an allotment holder and reside by castle park. I do not wish scarce resources to be stretched further .

T0406	I agree with the proposal.
T0407	Agree
T0408	I agree that the boundaries should be moved so the new houses belong to the Thetford parish.
T0409	Agree with Thetford Town Council Proposal
T0410	My view is that Thetford Town Council should not absorb the SUE into the Town Council. If the SUE is absorbed, the extra councillors they want as soon as the SUE starts to be developed is nothing more than empire building. Where I previously lived councillors were being reduced not increased. I wish our 3 rural parishes to be preserved and their residents able to benefit from the additional community facilities that are to be built in the SUE.
T0411	I agree with the proposal from Thetford Town Council to extend the boundaries. Thetford Town Council should benefit from this new development not the other parishes.
T0412	It is imperative to the social & economic fabric of Thetford town that the current 5000 home development has its boundaries incorporated & governed by Town Council as the facilities these homes will enjoy will naturally be, deeply rooted in the town itself and , therefore, should not be subsidised by us, it's current residents, just because of some spurious boundary considerations. Where else will the residents of this new development expect to receive their public services, recreational facilities, schooling etc, etc, from? - answer: Thetford Town! This boundary demarcation line has to be moved for the sake of common sense and in fairness to the existing town residents!
T0413 / TO413/1	Agree
T0414	Having read all that is available i have come to my decision that i would like to see the boundary extended in Thetford. My reason is that surely all parish councils benefit when it comes under breckland looking at the town that i have now lived in for 14 years i am getting sorely disappointed looking at the new development with retail space still left empty all houses that built will hopefully bring vitality and possible business opportunities, which in turn would help Thetford and also the tenants who live here.
T0415	agree
T0416	Boundary definitely needs to be moved
T0417	Agree that the boundary should be extended
T0418	I believe the boundaries should be changed and set so that everyone pays a fair and equal amount, people then get to use the services and areas by contributing the same! Bringing more money into the town in order for it to build and grow.
T0419	I strongly agree with the proposal. To me it is common sense and the boundary should be moved automatically as part of the natural expansion of Thetford.
T0420	I agree with the proposal
T0421	The boundary should be moved to encompass all the surrounding villages
T0422	I think the boundary should be moved to include all the new properties being built.
T0423	If the town is expected to bear the additional wear and use on its facilities by the new development then the Town needs to be financially positioned to do so. The fairest way to achieve that is to ensure everyone who benefits from the provided services should pay equally for it otherwise we end up with one part of the town subsidising another part. Therefore the proposed boundary change by Thetford Town Council should be agreed.
T0424	I agree with the proposal. If the new residents are using Thetford services they should be classed as living in Thetford and contributing tax.
T0425	We need more investment in our town .shops etc and think it should come to Thetford.
T0426	I agree with the proposal as I agree with the reasons given.
T0427	I have read the info regarding the Boundary changes and i think that the boundarys do need to be moved to include the 5000 houses being built. They will essentially be part of Thetford and will use the services of Thetford.
T0428	I strongly agree with the proposal to move the boundary. They would still be using the schools and facilities of Thetford and for the many like myself who struggle to pay the council tax we do it would seem totally unfair that someone who almost lives at the end of my street pay any less than we do.
T0429	The boundaries need moving for the new development and the new homes should be classed as being in Thetford.
T0430	Please keep these villages in the Breckland council area as we need their council tax to provide for all the upkeep and amenities that goes with it. I was born in Thetford over70years ago and I hate changes but this is one that should stay as it is and be members of Breckland
T0431	Agree with moving the boundaries up to the dual carriageway
T0432	I wish to agree to the proposal of changing the boundary to the level of the A11 so that the newly built houses come under the Thetford Parish Council
T0433	No. The boundary should not be changed. If this was he case then we could move boundaries to suit all our needs. A mockery! Keep it as it is!
T0434	agree that boundary should be moved.
T0435	I agree with thr proposal to move the boundry to encompass the new development.
T0436	I agree with the proposal new housing to be added to Thetford Town AND NOT PARISH

T0437	Agree, move the Thetford boundary to the dual carriageway
T0438	I think the town boundary should be moved as suggested so the new houses will be part of Thetford.
T0439	I agree with the proposal.
T0440	Agree
T0441	I think that the boundary should change to being the bypass
T0442	I would like the boundary moved so the new housing is in the thetford council.
T0443	I agree with the proposal that the Thetford boundary should be moved to include the new SUE, to ensure the residents of the new development will be paying for services within Thetford.
T0444	Disagree
T0445	Please move the Parish boundary to include the new houses. Any large developments should be included within the Thetford Town council tax area because the residents will make more use of the Thetford services than the Kilverstone & Brettenham and Croxton services.
T0446	I believe the new housing development should be within Thetford boundaries and the boundary should be moved to accommodate this. This development will clearly be part of the town and the residents will make use of the services like the rest of us.
T0447	Agree with proposal
T0448	I'm happy for more house to built in the town, as long as the required amenities for the additional families is given too e.g school, doctors surgery, shops
T0449	I agree with the proposal to extend Thetford's boundaries to incorporate new developments on the edge of the existing town, for the purposes of charging fairly for the usage and provision of town resources, facilities and amenities
T0450	I completely agree with the proposal.
T0451	I agree that the Thetford boundary should be moved to include the Sustainable Urban Extension, as these people will use the services provided by Thetford Town Council. However I disagree that an additional 4 councillors are needed. At most 2 additional councillors should be sufficient.
T0452	The Thetford boundary needs to increase in size to cover this new development along with an increase in Town Councillors. But this new development must include provision for new Dr's surgeries as the town population will potentially increase to 40,000 people based on 5,000 new homes, and 3 per home. The existing surgeries cannot cope as it is.
T0453	I agree that the new houses should come under Thetford and not Croxton councils
T0454	I agree the new housing will xxx old croxton and they have to use the facilities provided by Thetford so they should pay Thetford rates.
T0454/1	We agree it would be unfair for Croxton and Kilverstone people to be able to use the faclities of Thetford with contributing in Council Tax for them
T0455	I agree with the proposal of extending the boundaries to include Kilverstone & Croxton so we all pay the same Council Tax
T0456	Agree
T0457	Yes I agree with the proposal
T0458	Strongly agree
T0459	I agree with extending the boundary
T0460	Definitely feel that the boundary should moved and houses should be in Thetford. To be considered as Croxton the house would be the other side of the bypass and they will clearly not be
T0461	I agree with the proposal to move the Thetford Parish boundaries to include the proposed new build of houses on the edge of said boundary. This is necessary so that Thetford Town Council can receive the appropriate taxes which will allow it to continue to maintain the services that will be used by and for the benefit of the occupants of these new housing estates. Otherwise, the cost of maintenance and provision will increase with the extra population, but without the funds to continue their maintenance. This would incur a possible danger of the whole town eventually losing much valued amenities.
T0462	Yes I agree need to change the boundary.
T0463	Agree at the sue should form part of an expanded thetford
T0464	Please move the Thetford boundary to include the new houses being built; I do not want to pay for services that others will be using and not paying for.
T0465	Until there's improvement in the local schools and doctor surgeries in Thetford the 5000 homes will be waste. As a student of TTA 6th form there's not going to be enough room for more students regardless of what the school says we need a second highschool, we need better and more dentists and gp surgeries and we also need a town centre not a bloody ghost town! I would highly recommend fixing existing problems before you make it worse!
T0466	Please move the Thetford boundary to include land up to the All which will include the new houses. It is only fair that these residents contribute the same in council tax as other Thetford residents to use the same services.

T0467	I disagree with the proposal to extend the boundary of Thetford. My address is classed as Croxton and if the boundary is moved I shall become Thetford. Which 1 will devalue my house as I was told when buying my property it was worth more due to having a Croxton postcode not Thetford (being a village not a town). And 2, I will then have my council tax shoved up which is highly unfair. When Heathlands Drive/Hill House lane were built 28 years ago they were given the choice to be apart of Thetford or Croxton. They chose Croxton, please respect that and leave it how it always has been!!! Thank you.
T0468	I agree
T0469	I agree that the boundaries sound be moved so that the new houses are in thetford. As the people will be using all of thetford amenities
T0470	We both agree that the boundary should be moved, as the new estate will be using the towns facilities, without contributing an equal amount for all the services.
T0471	Disagree
T0472	I agree that the boundary should be moved. Moving the boundary will prevent divisions in the town.
T0473	I agree the boundary should be changed. Imperative for our services in Thetford.
T0474	I completely agree with the proposal, I would prefer the house to part of Thetford for the future of our town.
T0475	I agree with the proposals to change the boundaries of Thetford.
T0476	agree
T0477	I fully agree with the proposal, it would be a level of financial suicide for the residents of thetford if the boundary was not moved to ensure inclusion of the proposed development
T0478	I think the boundaries should be moved to the bypass to include these residents within thetford
T0479	Agree with the proposals
T0480	I believe that the new houses should pay the same council tax as current houses in thetford as will all use the same amenities in the town
T0481	I fully support the increase in the size of the council boundary to include the new 5000 homes.
T0481/1	I fully support the Thetford council boundary to be extended to include the 5000 new homes being built .
T0482	I strongly disagree with this proposal to extend Thetford's boundaries
T0483	I fully support the exstension of the Thetford council border to include the 5000 new homes being built
T0484	I totally agree that the boundary should move to incorporate these new house as part of Thetford. The residents would use all the amenities and facilities in Thetford so why shouldn't they help to pay for them!
T0485	I agree that the boundary of Thetford should be extended to include the new Sustainable Urban Extension area. The people moving there would be using the services of Thetford, so it seems right that they should pay council tax to Thetford. I also agree that there should be 20 councillors, to give a democratic voice to those moving to the increased area.
T0486	The boundaries need moving.
T0487	The boundary of Thetford should be moved to include the new 5000 houses.
T0488	I agree
T0489	The addition of 5000 homes to the edge of Thetford will ease some issues in the area such as a lack of affordable properties (I hope) but those living there will be sure the be using Thetford's amenities. As such they should be paying tax to Thetford Town Council so that we can further improve the town and fund those services already available. I therefore fully support a change in the boundary so these homes can be counted as part of Thetford, which they are closest to.
T0490	I agree with the proposal to change the town boundaries to include the new houses.
T0491	I agree with the proposal that the boundary should be moved.
T0492	I feel that these new houses will be considered part of Thetford and will use Thetford resources therefore they should be classed as part of Thetford
T0493	The parish should be Thetford.
T0494	Agree
T0495	Agree
T0496/ TO4096/1	Attached is my letter of objection to the proposed boundary change which I, strongly, feel would adversely affect the quality of service received and the positive social milieu of dealing with our current parish council. To whom it may concern, it has been brough to my attention that Thetford Town Council (TTC) has the intention of attempting an effective 'land grab' by means of changing the current parish boundaries. i'd like to take this opportunity to officially object to this proposal. On observation, having only lived here for 18 months, it's apparent that TTC has quite enough (or should have) on it agenda without adding to it by propogating these expansionist abmitions. I don't want to delve too much into this but a cursory look down Thetford High Street lays bare a whole host of issues which should be improved upon before attempting to absorb more residents into the parish. i'm hapy with the current set up as I believe the rural nature of our community is best served under Breckland District Council. Don't let this be ruined.
T0496/2	Land grab, happy with the current set up, believe we get better value for money, rural nature of our community is best served by
T0497	Agree they should change boundary
T0498	I disagree

T0499	My belief is that if we currently in the parish of Thetford are going to be paying for the services in the new development the Thetford parish should get the benefit of the extra money the council tax fr om the m would bring in .
T0500	The boundary needs to change! if the people who will live in these new houses are to be part of Thetford then they need to pay the same rates as everyone else! Thetford is getting bigger and bigger all the extra money to Thetford town council could do marvellous things if spent right to help get Thetfords bad name removed from other Norfolk towns
T0501	Agree with the proposal
T0502	make it thetford its only right
T0503	Please accept this email as my representation on the Governance Review for Thetford. As I understand it, the Sustainable Urban Extension (SUE) for 5,000 new homes and community facilities not only sits within the town of Thetford but also encroaches into the parishes of Croxton and Kilverstone. In July 2012 the District Council adopted as a set of planning policies, the Thetford Area Action Plan. As part of this process an enlarged settlement boundary for Thetford was established, this included enlarged settlement boundary the entire area of the Thetford Sustainable Urban extension, and Arlington way, currently part of Brettenham. Subsequently outline planning Permission has been given for the SUE extension. The Town Council have requested that the review considers an additional two Town Councillors in respect of that element of the SUE within Croxton Parish and a further two in respect of that element of the SUE within the parish of Kilverstone if the SUE area is included in the parish of Thetford. This would in effect allow Thetford TC to take over the governance of areas of Croxton and Brettenham & Kilverstone. My concern over Thetford TC's request is that it will have a negative impact on the rural communities of Croxton, Brettenham and Kilverstone. The parishes are already having their identities majorly changed by the substantial proposed development and by including the area within the governance of Thetford TC is effectively grabbing part of the rural community for financial gain. I have seen nothing from Thetford TC's request which suggests any benefit from the proposal other than increasing their income through precept and leaving the parishes out in the cold. Croxton and Brettenham & Kilverstone PC's have developed and submitted to Breckland DC a Joint Neighbourhood Plan (JNP) in preparation for the SUE and the population increase it brings with it. I believe this plan should be given due consideration as it has been developed by the people with the knowledge of the area and it's needs and aspirations. The proposal hardly supports the localism agenda! While it is necessary for the extensive development to happen, it is of paramount importance that rural communities on the cusp of such developments are enabled and empowered to retain their identity. The PC's should have the means to be able to work effectively for the benefit of the parishes. These are at risk of being eroded if the current bid is accepted as S106 money will have little chance of finding it's way in support of the rural community and way of life. I don't accept the argument of parity in precept put forward in the bid. The use of many of Thetford's facilities is a matter of choice rather than necessity. I believe that it is vital that the rural parish areas phase of the development should remain under their governance wherever possible and should be given the support from other tiers of local government to enable that to happen effectively for the benefit of all, and to ensure the rural character and historic value of the parishes are not lost. The JNP submitted by Croxton and Brettenham & Kilverstone PC's encourage this approach and I concur with it
T0504	With reference to the new homes being built on the boundary. The boundary needs to be moved so that these new homes are officially part of thetford as the residents will not only consider themselves of thetford but will be using the services of thetford. Where the propsed houses will be enlarges thetford town. It does not enlarge the village it is technically within the boundary of. The new homes will affect the townspeople of thetford and impact on services such as schooling doctors dentists trafagic etc. The boundary needs changing.
T0505	I wish for the border to be nice were the new housing is planed.
T0506	Disagree
T0507	I agree.
T0508	The new housing should lie within the boundary of Thetford Town. New residents there will use Thetford's facilities and so should contribute towards them. Thank you.
T0509	I agree that the boundaries need to be moved.
T0510	I strongly DISAGREE with the proposals. It would be a disgrace.
T0511	We disagree with the idea of Thetford Town Councils attempt to take control of the extra revenue that will be generated by S.U.E. when the majority of the 5,000 new homes will lie within the boundaries of Croxton/Brettenham and Kilverstone Parish Councils. The extra councillors should come from within these parishes. Thetford Town boundary must stay as it is.
T0512	I believe the boundary should be changed so the new houses come within Thetford Town Council so the people of Thetford benefit from the new development.
T0513	Total disagree that croxton should become part of thetford and pay the higher council tax. This is just a way for the council to grab more money and waste it as we all know that it won't be spent on the extra infrastructure that the town badly needs. The town has nothing to offer existing residents let alone new ones. We have been promised extra doctors, schools etc so many times before and it's come to nothing. You only have to look at the town centre and the industrial estates to see how bad the town is getting, businessess are moving out rather than in. The local council need to buck up their ideas and start listening to the people who live here.

T0514	I am writing to you concerning Breckland District Councils wishes into governance review of the soon to be built 5000 new homes. According to the Joint Neighbourhood Plan put forward this development for its most part lies within the parish areas of Kilverstone and Croxton along with Brettenham, so therefore the homes should come under their control . I feel it is most important to maintain the rural nature of these homes. I have also been informed that the Parish Councils are more than able to cope with this and the extra work it will bring. I am upset by the articles published in the recent About Thetford and also the Facebook Campaign on Thetford Forum , I feel it most unfair to try and influence residents in this one sided manor .
T0515	I most strongly disagree with the proposal by Thetford Town Council to move the town boundary . I feel the rural nature of the homes will be lost and I have been assured the Parish Councils are more than able to cope with any added work. I feel that with the new residents integrated into the community that all three parishes will benefit from the new facilities that are to be built . I have also found the Facebook campaign and the recent write ups in About Thetford to be most unfair and influencing for all the wrong reasons.
T0516	I wish the boundary to be moved to include the new homes under Thetford
T0517	Disagree
T0518	I think that the boundaries of Thetford should be moved to include the new development (SUE). If residents of the SUE, being residents of Croxton/Brettenham were to use these village centres for shopping, visiting the doctor/dentist, eating out, cinema or pub visits, then it would make no sense to move the boundary. However, as these villages have no facilities, Thetford will be the nearest destination. There is no reason to go to the centre of Croxton or Brettenham, and indeed the SUE is much more in keeping with the make up of Thetford. The SUE directly abuts the Thetford boundary, and it is a logical step to move the boundary to encompass it.
T0519	I agree the town boundary needs to be moved and the bypass become the new boundary for parish lines.
T0520	I disagree with the proposal and think the Thetford boundary should be moved. That way, Thetford residents would be paying for their services like Doctors and schools
T0521	The whole of the SUE area currently sited within Croxton and Kilverstone parishes should be brought within an extended Thetford boundary. Councillor representation, as detailed, should be appointed to ensure proper democratic representation for future SUE residents. By dint of its location adjacent to Thetford with easy access by road and foot, into the town residents of the SUE will undoubtedly use the existing and future facilities. If current boundaries are maintained it would be economically unsound and grossly unfair to expect Thetford residents to fund and promote facilities via higher community and other charges, which are then used by those paying considerably less in Croxton or Kilverstone areas. It would not promote community cohesion and would instead promote much anger and opposition from Thetford residents. Kilverstone is a scattered community whilst Croxton lies some distance from Thetford. Residents of the SUE will look to Thetford for the provision of community, social and economic facilities. There are no current plans for the provision of necessary facilities in Croxton or Kilverstone areas. Including the whole SUE area within the boundaries of Thetford is the only sensible and viable option.
T0522	I agree with the proposal to move the parish boundaries so that the new residential area will be moved within the town boundaries.
T0523	I entirely support the proposals put forward by Thetford Town Council. It is logical and indeed necessary for the current boundary to be altered so as to include the new housing due to be built. This area will clearly be and be seen to be a natural extension of Thetford, rather than a collection of houses linked to any other parish. Residents in the new houses will look to Thetford Town Council for services including Guildhall/Carnegie, Cemetery, Allotments, Christmas lights and festivities and everything else that the Town Council currently provides - it is only right and proper that residents in these new houses contribute to the cost of these services through their Council Tax. It is indeed an anomaly of the current system that residents of Arlington Way live geographically much closer to the centre of Thetford than I do on the northern edge of Cloverfields but of course pay far less for the services provided - we cannot allow this situation to be exacerbated further by failing to remove the boundary now.
T0524	The boundary should stay the same .The local residents do not wish to be part of Thetford I have talked to a few of them from Croxton.
T0525	Disagree